

Sakarya University Journal of Science SAUJS

e-ISSN 2147-835X Founded 1997 Period Bimonthly Publisher Sakarya University http://www.saujs.sakarya.edu.tr/en/

Title: The Effect of Derived Features on Art Genre Classification with Machine Learning

Authors: Didem ABİDİN

Recieved: 2021-03-29 00:00:00

Accepted: 2021-10-07 00:00:00

Article Type: Research Article

Volume: 25 Issue: 6 Month: December Year: 2021 Pages: 1275-1286

How to cite Didem ABİDİN; (2021), The Effect of Derived Features on Art Genre Classification with Machine Learning. Sakarya University Journal of Science, 25(6), 1275-1286, DOI: https://doi.org/10.16984/saufenbilder.904964 Access link http://www.saujs.sakarya.edu.tr/tr/pub/issue/66341/904964



Sakarya University Journal of Science 25(6), 1275-1286, 2021



The Effect of Derived Features on Art Genre Classification with Machine Learning

Didem ABİDİN*1

Abstract

Classification of the artwork according to their genres is being done for years. Although this process was used to be done by art experts before, now artificial intelligence techniques may help people manage this classification task. The algorithms used for classification are already improved, and now they can make classifications and predictions for any kind of genre classification. In this study, two different machine learning algorithms are used on an artwork dataset for genre classification. The primary purpose of this study is to show that the derived features about the artwork have a remarkable effect on correct genre classification. These features are derived from the metadata of the dataset. This metadata contains information about the nationalities and the period that the artist lived. Image filters are also applied to the images but the results show that applying only image filters on the dataset used in the study did not perform well. Instead, adding derived features extracted from the metadata increased the classification performances dramatically.

Keywords: genre classification, machine learning, Random Forest, J48.

List of Symbols and Abbreviations

| Machine Learning | ML |
|-------------------------------------|-----|
| Artificial Neural Networks | ANN |
| Deep Neural Networks | DNN |
| Support Vector Machines | SVM |
| Convolutional Neural Network | CNN |
| Random Forest | RF |
| Deep Learning | DL |

1. INTRODUCTION

Genre classification is a very popular study on which researchers work on different data sets with growing amounts of data. These data may consist of numerical values as well as images. Artificial intelligence techniques have been widely used for genre classification for many years on these types of data. When dealing with image data, researchers also use various filtering methods to obtain better classification results. Machine Learning (ML) is a branch of artificial intelligence, which is used for data science applications on a formatted data. Among the ML techniques, Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) play an important role on the classification of image data. ANN can be defined as a simulation of the working principles of neurons in human body, that takes one input, processes it and gives an output while learning the data. ANN techniques are developed by applying hidden layers of neurons between the input and output layers to obtain more accurate results in learning process. Because of its high learning capability, it can be used in various application areas like classification [1], prediction [2][3], recognition in (including general pattern, handwriting

^{*} Corresponding author: doktem@hotmail.com

¹ Manisa Celal Bayar University, Faculty of Engineering, Computer Engineering ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5966-7537

recognition) [4] and so on. ANN with more than three hidden layers between input and output layers is called Deep Neural Networks (DNN). Although the use of deep learning techniques for the processing and classification of visual data is becoming widespread, other ML techniques can still be used on visual data, and many ML algorithms can yield efficient results. In particular, the application of image filters on visual data makes the data ready for a classification problem application. In addition to filters, textual or numerical data that can be obtained from images also contribute to successful classification. The data that can be extracted from the images can be called as "derived features" and this study aimed to show that more efficient genre classification is possible on a data set where image filtering is used together with calculated fields. The values of the calculated fields can easily be included to the image filters' data and they together generate a stronger input to train any ML algorithm in use.

The layout of the paper is as follows: Section 2 explains the related work listed in literature about art genre classification, where Section 3 explains the proposed methodology with the details of the dataset used. Section 4 gives the results for the experiments of the study and Section 5 is the conclusion.

2. RELATED WORK

Machine Learning has been a very popular classification technique for the past two decades. Using ML algorithms in art genre classification is equally popular as other application areas of ML. below, some studies related with art genre classification with ML algorithms are listed. [5]

studied on art genre classification for five genres of paintings (abstract expressionism, cubism, impressionism, popart and realism) and used Naïve Bayes [6], k-Nearest Neighbor [7], ANN [8], Support Vector Machines (SVM) [9] and AdaBoost [10] algorithms. The best performing algorithm appeared to be the AdaBoost with 68.3% accuracy.

In some studies, self-organizing maps are used for art genre classification. For example, [11]

proposed a methodology that classifis painting styles by extracting some features from the paintings. They achieved their goal by using self-organizing maps. Another study extracted the light, line and color features and classified the art paintings by using k-Nearest Neigbor [12]. In this study, self-organizig maps also took place in the analysis of the classification process. [13] used dual-tree complex wavelet transforms and Hidden Markov Trees for the stylistic analysis of the paintings, where [14] used Genetic Algorithm (GA), to classify paintings into two and three classes. They used nearest neighbor algorithm for the training step and obtained better solutions than a classical nearest neighbor algorithm. In another study, a method to recognize painters having styles Impressionism, Expressionism, and Surrealism as a genre is proposed [15]. A study that derives features from a deep Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) obtained a performance of 77.57% in the classification of seven genre categories [16]. [17] worked on classification of fine-art paintings by using SVM and CNN [18]. They worked on the performances of different visual features in fine-art paintings. [19]

worked on the classification of paintings with CNN and they were successful on the discovery of over 250,000 new object annotations across 93,000 paintings.

In a relatively new study compared to the abovementioned studies, [20] handled the classification of painting styles problem with transfer learning. In [21], they used CNN the classification of the paintings and also used the timeframe feature as done in our study.

Some recent studies used DNN to classify the styles in paintings like [22], where they obtained successful results in a large scale collection of paintings. [23] worked on Wikipaintings dataset with 25 different styles. They used DNN to perform a classification with an accuracy of 62%. [24] trained and classified fine-art paintinds with Deep Convolutional Neural Network. They used three different pretrained CNNs and three of them showed a remarkable improvement over the others.

In the studies listed, very few of them have dealt with deriving calculated fields for the art paintings datasets. This study focuses on the importance of derived features in genre classification.

3. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

3.1. The Dataset

The dataset used contains artworks of 50 artists with 8355 pieces in total. It has been obtained from Kaggle [25] with a .csv file with the artist's information. This file contains the following columns: Id, name, years (birth-death), genre, (biography), Wikipedia nationality, bio (Wikipedia link), and paintings (number of paintings in the dataset). There are 21 genres in the dataset which are listed as: Abstract expressionism, Baroque, Byzantine art, Cubism, Early Renaissance, Expressionism, High Renaissance, Impressionism, Mannerism, Neoplasticism, Northern Renaissance, Pop art, Post-impressionism, Primitivism. Proto-Renaissance, Realism, Romanticism, Social Realism. Suprematism. Surrealism and Symbolism. The .csv file for artist information is given in Figure 1.

| id | name | years | nationality | genre | paintings |
|----|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| 15 | Albrecht Durer | 1471 - 1528 | German | Northern Renaissance | 328 |
| 20 | Alfred Sisley | 1839 - 1899 | French, British | Impressionism | 259 |
| C | Amedeo Modigliani | 1884 - 1920 | Italian | Expressionism | 193 |
| 7 | Andrei Rublev | 1360 - 1430 | Russian | Byzantine Art | 99 |
| 45 | Andy Warhol | 1928 - 1987 | American | Pop Art | 181 |
| 35 | Camille Pissarro | 1830 - 1903 | French | Impressionism, Post-Impressionism | 91 |
| 25 | Caravaggio | 1571 - 1610 | Italian | Baroque | 55 |
| 3 | Claude Monet | 1840 - 1926 | French | Impressionism | 73 |
| 2 | Diego Rivera | 1886 - 1957 | Mexican | Social Realism, Muralism | 70 |
| 27 | Diego Velazquez | 1599 - 1660 | Spanish | Baroque | 128 |
| | | | | | |

Figure 1 Artists.csv file

The artworks are given in 50 folders for 50 artists and the resized files are also available for the same paintings in another folder. In this study, the resized files are used.

3.2. Data Preprocessing

Classification algorithms are executed on the dataset in the WEKA environment [26]. WEKA can use .arff file format and the preprocessing steps on the data to be used for classification are given below:

Generating the initial .arff file: For image filtering, two main attributes are needed for the instances in the .arff file. These attributes are the

file name and class information. In addition to the aforementioned columns, year, nationality and genre columns are added for every instance in the dataset to be used after the filtering process. To add these columns, the artist.csv file is used. In this file, year, nationality and genre columns are first converted to encoded values with Python using LabelEncoder library [27]. This is done to give numeric values to categorical features. The source code and the numeric values for year, nationality, and genre fields are given in Figure 2 below.

| <pre>In [5]: from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder var_mod = ['years','genre','nationality'] le = LabelEncoder() for i in var_mod: df[i] = le.fit_transform(df[i]) df.dtypes df</pre> | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----|----------------------|-------|-------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Out[5]: | | | | | | | |
| | | id | name | years | genre | nationality | bio |
| | 0 | 0 | Amedeo Modigliani | 5 | 5 | 8 | Amedeo CI (Italian pror |
| | 1 | 1 | Vasiliy Kandinskiy | 5 | 5 | 11 | Wassily Wa Kandinsky |
| | 2 | 2 | Diego Rivera | 5 | 17 | 9 | Diego Marí: Juan Nepo |
| | 3 | 3 | Claude Monet | 5 | 7 | 6 | Oscar-Clau [klod monɛ] |
| | 4 | 4 | Rene Magritte | 5 | 19 | 2 | René Franç Magritte (Fr |

Figure 2 Artists.csv file after LabelEncoder

The meanings of encoded values for genres and nationalities are given in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively.

Table 1 Genre encoding

| No | Genre |
|----|------------------------|
| 0 | Abstract Expressionism |
| 1 | Baroque |
| 2 | Byzantine Art |
| 3 | Cubism |
| 4 | Early Renaissance |
| 5 | Expressionism |
| 6 | High Renaissance |
| 7 | Impressionism |
| 8 | Mannerism |
| 9 | Neoplasticism |
| 10 | Northern Renaissance |
| 11 | Pop Art |
| 12 | Post-impressionism |
| 13 | Primitivism |

Didem ABİDİN

| 14 | Proto-Renaissance |
|----|-------------------|
| 15 | Realism |
| 16 | Romanticism |
| 17 | Social Realism |
| 18 | Suprematism |
| 19 | Surrealism |
| 20 | Symbolism |

Table 2 Nationality encoding

| No | Nationality |
|----|-------------|
| 1 | Austrian |
| 2 | Belgian |
| 3 | British |
| 4 | Dutch |
| 5 | Flemish |
| 6 | French |
| 7 | German |
| 8 | Italian |
| 9 | Mexican |
| 10 | Norwegian |
| 11 | Russian |
| 12 | Spanish |
| | |

Detecting artists' era: The years attribute is encoded according to the timeframes which the artists had lived and it has values from 0 to 30. The period from 1275 to 2000, including the life periods of all artists, is divided into 25 years' timeframes and every timeframe is encoded. Then the timeframes corresponding to the birth and death dates of the artists are represented with two numeric values for more accuracy. The timeframe encoding is done according to the scale shown in Figure 3. For example, the birth and death dates of Pablo Picasso (1881-1973) fall into timeframes 25-28.



Figure 3 The timeframe scale

Adding derived features: To add these three encoded columns to .arff file, a small Java program is implemented. For every artist, the derived year, nationality, and genre data is read from .csv file to initial .arff file. The final .arff file is given in Figure 4 with *borns*, *dies*, and *nationality* attributes. Genre is already represented with the class column.

```
Orelation art
@attribute filename string
@attribute borns numeric
@attribute dies numeric
Gattribute nationality numeric
@attribute class {c1,c3,c5,c6,c7,c10,c12,c13,c16,c19,c20}
@data
Albrecht Durer 1.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht_Durer_10.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht_Durer_100.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht_Durer_101.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht Durer 102.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht_Durer_103.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht_Durer_104.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht_Durer_105.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht_Durer_106.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht_Durer_107.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht_Durer_108.jpg,9,10,7,c10
Albrecht_Durer_109.jpg,9,10,7,c10
```

Figure 4 .arff file with birth, death, nationality and genre data

Applying image filters: Filtering is applied to transform pixel intensity values of images to obtain some numeric data [28]. By doing so, features are extracted from image data and this data is written to the dataset. The arff files generated are used as input in WEKA and several algorithms are used to find the best performing one in classifying the paintings by genre. In [29], the best image filter combination to classify art images was found as the combination of EdgeHistogramFilter [30] and SimpleColorHistogramFilter [31]. EdgeHistogramFilter focuses on the edges of an image and takes shape information of the image into consideration for image indexing [32]. SimpleColorHistogramFilter extracts color histogram features. It has three histograms for red, green and blue, each one having 32 bins. Each bin has the count of pixels that fall to that bin. These two image filters are applied on .arff files and image filter data is merged with existing and derived columns. The .arff file after applying image filters is given in Figure 5. The same filter combination is applied to both versions of the data used in this study.

Didem ABİDİN The Effect of Derived Features on Art Genre Classification with Machine Learning

| @relation |
|---|
| "art-weka.filters.unsupervised.instance.imagefilter.EdgeHistogramFilter-D |
| 5' numeric@attribute 'RGB Color Histogram16' numeric@attribute 'RGB Color |
| |
| stogram39' numeric@attribute 'RGB Color Histogram40' numeric@attribute 'R |
| Color Histogram63' numeric@attribute 'MPEG-7 Edge Histogram0' numeric@att |
| 7 Edge Histogram22' numeric@attribute 'MPEG-7 Edge Histogram23' numeric@a |
| bute 'MPEG-7 Edge Histogram45' numeric@attribute 'MPEG-7 Edge Histogram46 |
| eric@attribute 'MPEG-7 Edge Histogram68' numeric@attribute 'MPEG-7 Edge H |
| @attribute borns numeric |
| @attribute dies numeric |
| @attribute nationality numeric |
| @attribute class {c1,c3,c5,c6,c7,c10,c12,c13,c16,c19,c20} |
| @data |
| Albrecht Durer 1.jpg,3,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0, |
| Albrecht Durer 10.jpg, 7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, |
| Albrecht Durer 100.jpg,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 |
| Albrecht Durer 101.jpg,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 |
| Albrecht Durer 102.jpg,3,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0, |
| Albrecht Durer 103.jpg,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 |
| Albrecht_Durer_104.jpg,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 |
| Albrecht Durer 105.jpg,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 |
| MTDLECHC_DULEL_103.368,030,030,030,030,030,030,030,030,030,03 |

Figure 5 .arff file with image filters applied

The artists and the number of paintings they have in the dataset are given in Table 3. Among these, the ones that have paintings from the rarest genres (shaded ones in Table 1) are excluded and 39 artists' paintings are used. The excluded artists are also shown in shades in the table.

| Table 3 Artists a | and the number | of paintings |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
|-------------------|----------------|--------------|

| Name | paintings |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Albrecht Durer | 328 |
| Alfred Sisley | 259 |
| Amedeo Modigliani | 193 |
| Andrei Rublev | 99 |
| Andy Warhol | 181 |
| Camille Pissarro | 91 |
| Caravaggio | 55 |
| Claude Monet | 73 |
| Diego Rivera | 70 |
| Diego Velazquez | 128 |
| Edgar Degas | 702 |
| Edouard Manet | 90 |
| Edvard Munch | 67 |
| El Greco | 87 |
| Eugene Delacroix | 31 |
| Francisco Goya | 291 |
| Frida Kahlo | 120 |
| Georges Seurat | 43 |
| Giotto di Bondone | 119 |
| Gustav Klimt | 117 |
| Gustave Courbet | 59 |
| Henri de Toulouse-Lautrec | 81 |
| Henri Matisse | 186 |
| Henri Rousseau | 70 |
| Hieronymus Bosch | 137 |
| Jackson Pollock | 24 |
| Jan van Eyck | 81 |
| Joan Miro | 102 |
| Kazimir Malevich | 126 |
| Leonardo da Vinci | 143 |
| Marc Chagall | 239 |
| Michelangelo | 49 |

| Mikhail Vrubel | 171 |
|-----------------------|-----|
| Pablo Picasso | 439 |
| Paul Cezanne | 47 |
| Paul Gauguin | 311 |
| Paul Klee | 188 |
| Peter Paul Rubens | 141 |
| Pierre-Auguste Renoir | 336 |
| Piet Mondrian | 84 |
| Pieter Bruegel | 134 |
| Raphael | 109 |
| Rembrandt | 262 |
| Rene Magritte | 194 |
| Salvador Dali | 139 |
| Sandro Botticelli | 164 |
| Titian | 255 |
| Vasiliy Kandinsky | 88 |
| Vincent van Gogh | 877 |
| William Turner | 66 |
| | |

3.3. Input Data

The input dataset contains the following columns:

- Attributes of SimpleColorHistogram (64 columns)
- Attributes of EdgeHistogram (80 columns)
- borns: It is the corresponding 25-year interval (timeframe) in which the artist's date of birth coincides (values from 1 to 30).
- dies: It is the corresponding 25-year interval (timeframe) in which the artist's date of death coincides (values from 1 to 30).
- nationality: Encoding values given in Table 2.

Class column is the output for the proposed system. Genre encoding values are given in Table 1. Additionally, image filters' features are added to the dataset automatically when any of the filters are applied in WEKA.

3.4. Proposed System

To classify artworks into genres, supervised learning techniques are used. In supervised techniques, the class information for every instance is given to the algorithm and the algorithm "learns" and generates a model with this data on the training set. Later, the generated model is used for testing. Among all ML algorithms, this study uses Random Forest (RF) and J48 algorithms. RF builds many classification trees as a forest of random decision trees [33] and these trees are then merged to obtain a more accurate prediction. Each tree uses a specific subset of the input features. Each tree outputs a classification (vote) and the algorithm chooses the one having most votes among all the trees in the forest [34]. As the number of trees in the forest increases, the generalization error for the forests converges to a limit value. This error value depends on the strength of the individual trees in the forest and the correlation between them [35]. RF algorithms can be used for both classification and regression problems. It adds additional randomness to the model while the trees grow. It searches for the best feature among a subset of features selected randomly. This means a wide diversity that generally results in a better model [36].

ID3 was the first version of the C4.5 algorithm of Quinlan [37] and J48 [38] is the Java implementation of C4.5 [39]. C4.5 is a classifier that accepts nominal classifiers and can use both discrete and continuous attributes. Also training data with missing attribute values is accepted. J48 adds some more features to C4.5 like processing for missing values, decision tree pruning, continuous attribute value ranges, and derivation of rules. C4.5 classifiers are also considered as decision trees and they can construct classifiers in a more comprehensible rule set form [40].

After the preprocessing step for the dataset is completed, it is used for executing classification algorithms.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The results were obtained for two versions of the dataset. In the first version (V1), all 50 artists from 21 genres were included. In the second version (V2) rare genres and their instances were excluded since they have very few examples. V2 dataset has 39 artists from 11 genres. These 11 genres are Baroque, Cubism, Early Renaissance, Expressionism, High Renaissance, Impressionism, Northern Renaissance, Postimpressionism, Primitivism, Romanticism, Surrealism and Symbolism. The genres which are already in the original dataset but not included in the second version are shaded in grey in Table 1. Table 4 shows the properties of both versions of the dataset for comparison.

| Table 4 Artists and th | e number of paintings |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
|------------------------|-----------------------|

| | V1 | V2 |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| # genres | 21 | 11 |
| # instances | 8355 | 7252 |
| # artists | 50 | 39 |
| # attributes | 148 | 148 |
| Image | EdgeHistogram | EdgeHistogram |
| filters | SimpleColorHistogram | SimpleColorHistogram |
| Algorithms | RF J48 | RF J48 |

For both versions of datasets, the same image filters and the same classification algorithms were used. Among the classification algorithms, RF and J48 had the best performances.

RF and J48 results for dataset V1 are given in Table 5 and Table 6 and RF and J48 results for dataset V2 are given in Table 7 and Table 8 respectively.

Table 5 RF Results for V1

| Time taker | | | : 6.76 seconds | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Instance | s: 82.9823 % | | | | | | | |
| Kappa stat | : 0.8101 | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean abso | : 0.0557 | | | | | | | | | |
| Root mean | : 0.1455 | | | | | | | | | |
| Relative ab | : 64.3106 % | | | | | | | | | |
| Root relati | - | | : 69.9367 % | | | | | | | |
| Total Number of Instances : 8355 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Precision | Recall | F-Mea | | | | | | | | |
| 0.629 | 0.676 | 0.975 | <u>c0</u> | | | | | | | |
| 0.928 | 0.923 | 0.998 | <u>c1</u> | | | | | | | |
| 0.857 | 0.863 | 0.997 | <u>c2</u> | | | | | | | |
| 0.855 | 0.849 | 0.997 | c3 | | | | | | | |
| 0.477 | 0.548 | 0.993 | c4 | | | | | | | |
| 0.835 | 0.827 | 0.992 | c5 | | | | | | | |
| 0.857 | 0.853 | 0.997 | сб | | | | | | | |
| 0.827 | 0.793 | 0.982 | с7 | | | | | | | |
| 0.709 | 0.727 | 0.999 | c8 | | | | | | | |
| 0.473 | 0.554 | 0.985 | c9 | | | | | | | |
| 0.921 | 0.914 | 0.985 | c10 | | | | | | | |
| 0.84 | 0.845 | 0.996 | c11 | | | | | | | |
| 0.896 | 0.882 | 0.988 | c12 | | | | | | | |
| 0.761 | 0.754 | 0.975 | c13 | | | | | | | |
| 0.91 | 0.911 | 0.997 | c14 | | | | | | | |
| 0.183 | 0.315 | 0.982 | c15 | | | | | | | |
| 0.959 | 0.957 | 1 | c16 | | | | | | | |
| 0.289 | 0.396 | 0.984 | c17 | | | | | | | |
| 0.564 | 0.609 | 0.988 | c18 | | | | | | | |
| 0.795 | 0.785 | 0.983 | c19 | | | | | | | |
| 0.708 | 0.704 | 0.972 | c20 | | | | | | | |
| 0.83 | 0.816 | 0.811 | W. Avg. | | | | | | | |
| 0.00 | 0.010 | 0.011 | | | | | | | | |

Didem ABİDİN The Effect of Derived Features on Art Genre Classification with Machine Learning

Table 6 J48 Results for V1

| Number of 1 Size of the t Time taken Correctly C Kappa stati Mean absolt Root mean a Relative absolt Root relative Total Numb | ree to build mo lassified In stic ute error squared error solute error e squared e | stances : 94.638 : 0.941 : 0.0056 or : 0.0699 : 6.4555 error : 33.44 | 86 % 6 5 % |
|--|---|---|------------------|
| Precision | Recall | F-Measure | Class |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | <u>c0</u> |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c2 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c3 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c4 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c5 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c6 |
| 0.877 | 0.847 | 0.938 | c7 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c8 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c9 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c10 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c11 |
| 0.963 | 0.957 | 0.983 | c12 |
| 0.892 | 0.886 | 0.96 | c13 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c14 |
| 0.868 | 0.865 | 0.977 | c15 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c16 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c17 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c18 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c19 |
| 0.766 | 0.748 | 0.905 | c20 |
| 0.946 | 0.946 | 0.938 | W. Avg. |

Table 7 RF Results for V2

| | | | : 11.59 seconds | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | nces : 88.7204 | % | | | | |
| Kappa statis | | : 0.8709 | | | | | |
| Mean absolu | ite error | : 0.0941 | | | | | |
| | squared error | : 0.1831 | | | | | |
| Relative abs | 0-0-0-0- | : 58.6993 | | | | | |
| | e squared erro | | 0 | | | | |
| Total Numb | er of Instances | s : 7252 | | | | | |
| Precision | Recall | F-Measure | Class | | | | |
| 0.943 | 0.939 | 0.998 | c1 | | | | |
| 0.915 | 0.91 | 0.998 | c3 | | | | |
| 0.888 | 0.88 | 0.995 | c5 | | | | |
| 0.978 | 0.977 | 0.999 | c6 | | | | |
| 0.867 | 0.832 | 0.983 | c7 | | | | |
| 0.92 | 0.912 | 0.988 | c10 | | | | |
| 0.91 | 0.895 | 0.99 | c12 | | | | |
| 0.824 | 0.824 | 0.977 | c13 | | | | |
| 0.956 | 0.954 | 1 | c16 | | | | |
| 0.844 | 0.836 | 0.99 | c19 | | | | |
| 0.716 | 0.713 | 0.972 | c20 | | | | |
| 0.887 | 0.885 | 0.872 | W. Avg. | | | | |

Table 8 J48 Results for V2

| Number of Leaves | : 144 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| Size of the tree | : 287 |
| Time taken to build model | : 1.09 seconds |
| Correctly Classified Instances | : 94.2774 % |
| Kappa statistic | : 0.9351 |
| Mean absolute error | : 0.0114 |
| Root mean squared error | : 0.0983 |
| Relative absolute error | : 7.1129 % |
| Root relative squared error | : 34.7342 % |
| Total Number of Instances | : 7252 |

| Precision | Recall | F-Measure | Class |
|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c3 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c5 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c6 |
| 0.886 | 0.853 | 0.947 | c7 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c10 |
| 0.964 | 0.958 | 0.985 | c12 |
| 0.884 | 0.877 | 0.957 | c13 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c16 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | c19 |
| 0.767 | 0.746 | 0.911 | c20 |
| 0.943 | 0.943 | 0.932 | W. Avg. |

The confusion matrices for the best performing algorithm on V1 and V2 are given in Table 9 and Table 10 below respectively. The confusing genres are marked in grey on both tables.

The Effect of Derived Features on Art Genre Classification with Machine Learning

| c0 | c1 | c2 | c3 | c4 | c5 | c6 | c7 | c8 | c9 | c10 | c11 | c12 | c13 | c14 | c15 | c16 | c17 | c18 | c19 | c20 | |
|----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|----|----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c0 |
| 0 | 495 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c1 |
| 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 439 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c4 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 556 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c6 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1458 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 23 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | c7 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c8 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c9 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 748 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c11 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1005 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | c12 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 376 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | c13 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c14 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c15 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c16 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c17 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 0 | c18 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 435 | 0 | c19 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 457 | c20 |

Table 9 Confusion Matrix for V1 with J48 Algorithm

| Table 10 Co | nfusion Matrix | for V1 with | J48 Algorithm |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| 10010 10 00 | musion muun | 101 11 1111 | o to rigoriumi |

| c1 | c3 | c5 | c6 | c7 | c10 | c12 | c13 | c16 | c19 | c20 | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 495 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c1 |
| 0 | 439 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c3 |
| 0 | 0 | 469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c5 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 556 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c6 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1468 | 0 | 27 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 124 | c7 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 747 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1008 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | c12 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 6 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 9 | c13 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 0 | c16 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 435 | 0 | c19 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 459 | c20 |

Image filters on their own did not perform well for the two datasets. However, with the addition of the derived features, the classification process became more significant. Table 11 and Table 12 show the classification performances with only the image filters, and the image filters together with the derived features for both datasets.

Table 11 Classification performances without/with derived features in V1

| Condition | Algorithm | Performance (%) |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Only Filtona | RF | 42.13 |
| Only Filters | J48 | 26.24 |
| Filters + derived | RF | 82.63 |
| features | J48 | 94.63 |

Table 12 Classification performances without/with derived features in V2

| Condition | Algorithm | Performance (%) |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Only Filtona | RF | 47.13 |
| Only Filters | J48 | 21.67 |
| Filters + derived | RF | 88.18 |
| features | J48 | 94.27 |

5. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this study, the art genre classification with ML algorithms is done by using derived features of the artwork in the dataset with the best performing image filters. Here, not the images, but the information about the images is in question, that is why no CNN or DL techniques are used. Instead, classical ML algorithms were enough to make proper classification on image data including.the derived features.

The two version of the same dataset is used in two different sizes, one with 21 different genres of work and the smaller one with 11 genres of artwork image data. Some of these genres are close to each other in terms of the time period they were used. One genre may be following the other one as a slight developed/transformed version of the previous. For this reason, it is possible to confuse the genres of some othe pieces in the dataset. The most confusing genres are identified as symbolism, impressionism, and post-impressionism.

Although reducing the number of genres affects the performance in a positive way for both of the algorithms, this effect is more evident for RF. On both datasets, J48 performs better than RF, but the size of the dataset does not affect the performance of J48. With V1, as the greater dataset, the performance is measured as 95.81%; where it is 95.34% with V2. Less number of genres and fewer attributes do not affect the performance. Contrarily, more instances in the dataset played a positive role in the accuracy of the result.

What is meant to be emphasized here, together with the best performance values obtained for the proposed system, are the performance values obtained for the genres that are more difficult to classify. For example, symbolism genre has emerged as the one with the worst performance value among genres confused with each other for both data sets. 70.8%, which is the worst performance value for this genre, is not a bad performance value when compared with the art genre classification studies in the literature.

Funding

The author has no received any financial support for the research, authorship or publication of this study.

The Declaration of Conflict of Interest/ Common Interest

No conflict of interest or common interest has been declared by the authors.

Authors' Contribution

The author contributed 100%.

The Declaration of Ethics Committee Approval

This study does not require ethics committee permission or any special permission.

The Declaration of Research and Publication Ethics

The authors of the paper declare that they comply with the scientific, ethical and quotation rules of SAUJS in all processes of the paper and that they do not make any falsification on the data collected. In addition, they declare that Sakarya University Journal of Science and its editorial board have no responsibility for any ethical violations that may be encountered, and that this study has not been evaluated in any academic publication environment other than Sakarya University Journal of Science.

In addition, the RESEARCH AND PUBLICATION ETHICS DECLARATION FORM regarding this statement should be signed by the corresponding author and uploaded as an additional file when submitting the article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sengupta, N., Sahidullah, M., Saha, G., 2016. "Lung sound classification using cepstral-based statistical features". Computers in Biology and Medicine. Vol. 75(1), pp.118–129. doi:10.1016/j.compbiomed.2016.05.013.
- [2] Işık, E., İnallı, M., 2018. "Artificial neural networks and adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference systems approaches to forecast the meteorological data for HVAC: The case of cities for Turkey". Energy, Vol. 154, pp. 7-16.
- [3] Işık, E., İnallı, M., Celik, E., 2019. "ANN and ANFIS Approaches to Calculate the Heating and Cooling Degree Day Values: The Case of Provinces in Turkey". Arab J Sci Eng, Vol.44, pp. 7581–7597. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-019-03852-4.
- [4] Maitra, D.S., Bhattacharya, U., Parui, S.K., 2015. "CNN based common approach to handwritten character recognition of multiple scripts". 13th International Conference on Document Analysis and

Recognition (ICDAR), pp. 1021–1025. doi:10.1109/ICDAR.2015.7333916.

- [5] Zujovic, J., Gandy, L., Friedman, S., Pardo, B., Pappas, T. N., 2009, "Classifying paintings by artistic genre: An analysis of features & classifiers", 2009 IEEE International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing, 5-7 Oct. 2009, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. DOI: 10.1109/MMSP.2009.5293271.
- [6] Bayes, M., Price, M., 1763, "An Essay Towards Solving a Problem in The Doctrine of Chances. By the Late Rev. Mr. Bayes, F. R. S. Communicated by Mr. Price, in a Letter to John Canton, A. M. F. R. S.", Philosophical Transactions, Vol. 53, pp. 370–418.
- [7] Fix, E., Hodges, J. L., 1951,
 "Discriminatory Analysis. Nonparametric Discrimination: Consistency Properties" USAF School of Aviation Medicine, Randolph Field, Texas.
- [8] Caudill, M., 1989, "Neural Network Primer: Part I", AI Expert
- [9] Cortes, C., Vapnik, V., 1995, "Support Vector Networks", Machine Learning, Vol. 20, pp. 273-297.
- [10] Kégl, B., 2013, "The return of AdaBoost.MH: multi-class Hamming trees". arXiv:1312.6086.
- [11] Lee, S. G., Cha, E. Y., 2016, "Style classification and visualization of art painting's genre using self-organizing maps", Human-Centric Computing and Information Sciences, 6:07. DOI: 10.1186/s13673-016-0063-4.
- [12] Lombardi, T. E., 2005, "The classification of style in fine-art painting", PhD thesis, Pace University, New York.
- [13] Jafarpour, S., Polatkan, G., Brevdo, E., Hughes, S., Brasoveanu, A., Daubechies, I., 2009, "Stylistic analysis of paintings using

wavelets and machine learning," In 17th European Signal Processing Conference, pp. 1220–1224.

- [14] Levy, E., David, O., Netanyahu, N. S., 2013, "Painter Classification Using Genetic Algorithms", 2013 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, pp. 3027-3034, June 20-23, Cancún, México.
- [15] Shamir, L., Macura, T., Orlov, N., Eckley, D.M., Goldberg, I.G., 2010, "Impressionism, expressionism, surrealism: automated recognition of painters and schools of art", Transact Appl Percept 7:8.
- [16] Cetinic, E., Grgic, S., 2016, "Genre Classification of Paintings", Proceedings of 58th International Symposium ELMAR, pp. 201-204, 12-14 September 2016, Zadar, Croatia.
- [17] Saleh, B., Elgammal, A., 2015, "Large-scale Classification of Fine-Art Paintings: Learning The Right Metric on The Right Feature", arXiv:1505.00855. DOI: 10.11588/dah.2016.2.23376.
- [18] Fukushima, K., 2007, "Neocognitron", Scholarpedia, 2(1), 1717. DOI:10.4249/scholarpedia.1717.
- [19] Crowley, E. J., 2016, "Visual Recognition in Art using Machine Learning", PhD Thesis, Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford.
- [20] Oomen, E., 2018, "Classification of painting style with transfer learning", Master Thesis, School of Humanities and Digital Science of Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands.
- [21] Zhao, W., Zhou, D., Qiu, X., Jiang, W., 2021, "How to Represent Paintings: A Painting Classification Using Artistic Comments", Sensors, 21, 1940. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21061940.
- [22] Bar, Y., Levy, N., Wolf, L., "Classication of Artistic Styles using Binarized Features

Derived from a Deep Neural Network", 2015, Workshop at the European Conference on Computer Vision. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-16178-5_5.

- [23] Lecoutre, A., Negrevergne, B, Yger, F., 2017, "Recognizing Art Style Automatically in painting with deep learning", Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 77, pp. 327-342.
- [24] Sandoval, C., Pirogova, E., Lech, A. M., 2019, "Two-Stage Deep Learning Approach to the Classification of Fine-Art Paintings", IEEE Access, 7. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2907986.
- [25] Kaggle, Best Artworks of All Time, https://www.kaggle.com/ikarus777/bestartworks-of-all-time. Accessed 08.10.2019.
- [26] Hall, M., Frank, E., Holmes, G., Pfahringer, B., Reutemann, P., Witten, I. H., 2009, "The WEKA data mining software: An update," SIGKDD Explorations, vol. 11, 2009. http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/
- [27] SciKit Learn, Label Encoder, https://scikitlearn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn .preprocessing.LabelEncoder.html. Accessed 10.10.2019.
- [28] Voutsakis, E., Petrakis, E.G.M., and Milios, E. 2006. IntelliSearch: Intelligent Search for Images and Text on the Web. In Proceedings of International Conference on Image Analysis and Recognition (Povoa de Varzim, Portugal, September 18-20, 2006). ICIAR 2006, 697-708.
- [29] Abidin, D., "Effects of Image Filters on Various Image Datasets". Proceedings of the 2019 5th International Conference on Computer and Technology Applications. April 2019. Pages 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1145/3323933.3324056
- [30] Balasubramani, R., Kannan, V., 2009, "Efficient use of MPEG-7 Color Layout and Edge Histogram Descriptors in CBIR Systems", Global Journal of Computer

Science and Technology. 9, 5 (2009), 157-163.

- [31] SimpleColorHistogramFilter, WEKA unsupervised instance image filter, URL:https://github.com/mmayo888/Image Filter/blob/master/ImageFilter/src/weka/filt ers/unsupervised/instance/imagefilter/Simp leColorHistogramFilter.java.
- [32] Shim S. O., Choi, T. S., 2002, "Edge color histogram for image retrieval", In Proceedings of International Conference on Image Processing (Rochester, NY, USA, September 22-25, 2002). IEEE, pp. 957-960. DOI: 10.1109/ICIP.2002.1037942.
- [33] Ho, T. K., 1995, "Random Decision Forests". Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, 278-282, Montreal, QC, Canada.
- [34] Fontana, F.A., Mäntylä, M.V., Zanoni, M., Marino, A., 2016, "Comparing and Experimenting Machine Learning Techniques for Code Smell Detection", Empirical Software Engineering, Vol. 21, pp. 1143-1191.
- [35] Breiman, L., 2001, "Random Forests". Machine Learning, 5–32. doi: 10.1023/A:1010933404324.
- [36] Donges N., 2018, "The Random Forest Algorithm". https://machinelearningblog.com/2018/02/06/the-random-forestalgorithm/#more-375 (03.09.2018).
- [37] Quinlan, J. R., 1986, "Induction of Decision Trees". Machine Learning 1, 81-106.
- [38] Witten, L. H., Frank, E., 2005, Data Mining: Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques. 2nd ed. San Francisco, USA. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.
- [39] Quinlan, J. R., 1993, C4.5 Programs for Machine Learning. San Francisco, USA. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.

[40] Salama, A. A., Eisa, M., ELhafeez, S. A., Lotfy, M. M., 2015, "Review of Recommender Systems Algorithms Utilized in Social Networks based e-Learning Systems & Neutrosophic System", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 8, pp. 33.