ISSN: 2146-0574, eISSN: 2536-4618

Moleküler Biyoloji ve Genetik / Molecular Biology and Genetics

Araştırma Makalesi / Research Article

DOI: 10.21597/jist.923430

Geliş tarihi / Received: 20.04.2021

Kabul tarihi / Accepted: 25.06.2021

To Cite: Ilhan D, Demir H, 2021. Phylogeographic Structure of Kars Emmer Wheat (*Triticum dicoccum* Schrank ex Schübl) in Turkey Explained by SSR Markers. Journal of the Institute of Science and Technology, 11(4): 3128-3137.

Phylogeographic Structure of Kars Emmer Wheat (*Triticum dicoccum* Schrank ex Schübl) in Turkey Explained by SSR Markers

Dogan ILHAN^{1*}, Hatice DEMIR²

ABSTRACT: Turkey has a role in the distribution of many plants across continents and it is the main center of wheat. Due to its climatic characteristics and geostrategic importance, Turkey also has important genetic resources for the cultivation and development for many local wheat varieties. Therefore, it is important to determine local wheat genotypes that can adapt to different ecological conditions in Turkey and define ones having high performance in terms of efficiency and quality characteristics to make them useful. Emmer wheat which is grown in and around Kars City in northeastern Anatolia region is seen as valuable. In the present study, the phylogeographic structure analyses of 10 emmer (Triticum dicoccum Schrank) wheat populations and 2 populations of cultivated wheat (Triticum aestivum) obtained from Kars region were made by Simple Sequence Repeats (SSR). Within the scope of the study, Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA), phylogenetic analysis, and genetic-geographic distance analysis were performed. 3 main groups of differentiation at the populations were supported by PCoA and phylogenetic analyses. The comparison between geographic and genetic distance matrices for all genotypes revealed a statistically negative correlation ($R^2=0.04$). Emmer wheat is an important local genetic resource and the cultivation area in agriculture should be expanded and used in breeding studies. In addition, it has been determined that SSR markers can give more comprehensive results with higher numbers in wheat genotyping studies.

Keywords: Emmer wheat, Phylogeography, Triticum dicoccum, SSR, Kars

¹Dogan ILHAN (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-2805-1638), Kafkas University, Faculty of Science and Letters, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Kars, Turkey

²Hatice DEMIR (<u>Orcid ID: 0000-0003-4790-7729</u>), Kafkas University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Program of Biology, Kars, Turkey

*Sorumlu Yazar/Corresponding Author: Dogan ILHAN, e-mail: doganilhan@kafkas.edu.tr

This study was produced from HD's doctoral thesis and it is presented orally at the International ICONTECH Symposium, On Innovative Surveys in Positive Sciences". "2nd World Conference" held in Budapest, Hungary on 23-26 September 2020.

INTRODUCTION

Cereals are among the first plants to be cultured and they belong to the family of wheat (Gramineae/Poaceae) (Verma et al., 2019). In terms of planting area and production quantity, wheat is important grain group in Turkey as in the world (Karagöz, 2014). In ancient times, primitive species were collected directly from nature and consumed as nutrients. Triticum monococcum L.(Siyez) and Triticum dicoccum S. (emmer) such primitive wheat species were used in this way for many years and they were later cultured by producers (Karagöz, 1996). Emmer group wheat Bronze Age (10000-4000 BC) has maintained its existence throughout. In this process naked wheat has gradually replaced the emmer, especially the tetraploid species. (Harlan, 1981; Perrino and Hammer, 1982). Triticum urartu (wild diploid wheat, 2n=14, AuAu) and Aegilops speltoides (wild grass plant, 2n=14, BB) hybridized naturally about 30-50 thousand years ago and occurred in *Triticum dicoccoides* (wild emmer, 4n=28, AuAuBB) with their chromosomes folded. Triticum dicoccum (culture Gernik wheat 4n=28 and AuAuBB) has been domesticated as a result of natural-artificial selections from the species *Triticum* dicoccoides (Peng et al., 2011; Dhanavath and Prasada, 2017). Today, emmer wheat is grown in Ethiopia, Iran, Turkey, the Caucasia, the Volga Valley, the republics of Former Yugoslavia, Central Europe, Italy, Spain and India (Zaharieva et al., 2010). Molecular marker techniques (RAPD, RFLP, SSR, AFLP etc.) are preferred for the purpose of identifying genotypes in many species, especially cereals (Grover and Sharma, 2015). In many studies, it has been proven that SSRs in plant species have a high level of informative (Ilhan et al., 2016; Rafeipour et al., 2016; Abbasov et al., 2018). SSRs are among the most appropriate markers used in many fields such as phylogenetic relationship, genetic diversity, population genetics, genetic mapping (Kacem et al., 2017; Salehi et al., 2018; Kara et al., 2020). A great deal of studies has been done to investigate genetic diversity, phylogenetic relationships using SSR in wheat (Ehtemam et al., 2010; Tahır and Abdul, 2010; Asmamaw et al., 2019;). It is valuable to investigate phylogeographic structure of populations for acceptable evaluation of local genetic plant resources found in Turkey. In addition, it is necessary to evaluate local genetic resources with correct methods (Demirel et al., 2019). Research on the emmer has also been carried out in Turkey. However, there are limited studies on the genetic diversity of Turkish emmer wheat (Arystanbekkyzy et al., 2018; Demirel, 2020). In the current study, phylogeographic analyses of emmer wheat (Triticum dicoccum Schrank) belonging to Kars province (Turkey) was carried out using SSR markers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and DNA Extraction

Seeds from 10 different populations of local emmer (*Triticum dicoccum* Schrank) wheat used in the study were obtained from farmers in different villages of Kars (Table 1, Fig. 2). Since this wheat species is an autogam, a sample was taken from each population. In addition, seeds of 2 cultivated wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) obtained from farmers in different villages of Kars province to compare genetic diversity were also included in the study (Table 1, Fig. 2). The seeds of wheat populations were germinated and grown on viols in the climate room, which included a 16/8 - hour long day photoperiod and a room temperature of 25 $^{\circ}$ C. DNA extraction was conducted using CTAB method from young leaves of wheats grown in plant growing rooms (Doyle and Doyle, 1990). These resulting DNAs were diluted to a concentration of 10 ng/µl and made ready for use in PCR reactions.

Dogan ILHAN and Hatice DEMIR

11(4): 3128-3137, 2021

Phylogeographic Structure of Kars Emmer Wheat (*Triticum dicoccum* Schrank ex Schübl) in Turkey Explained by SSR Markers

| Population No | Type of Wheat | Wheat Locations | Number of Local Varieties | Number of Individuals |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | Triticum aestivum L. | Dağpınar Village (Digor District) | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Triticum aestivum L. | Aşağı kotanlı Village (Selim District) | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl. | Benliahmet Village (Selim District) | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl. | Koçköy Village (Arpaçay District) | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl. | İncesu Village (Susuz District) | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl. | Dikme Village (Kars Town) | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl. | Kürekdere Village (Arpaçay District) | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl. | Dağpınar Village (Digor District) | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl. | Geçit Village (Akyaka District) | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl. | Mezraa Village (Kars Town) | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl. | Değirmenköprü Village (Arpaçay District | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl. | Arpaçay Town | 1 | 1 |

Amplification and Analysis of SSR Markers

The PCR process was performed on Bioneer Global Genomics Partner Thermocycler. For PCR reactions, 9.5 μ l ddH₂0, 1 μ l F primer and 1 μ l R primer (10 μ M of primers) and 12.5 μ l Taq 2x Master Mix (25 mM MgCl₂) were used with a final volume of 25 μ l containing 1 μ l of diluted genomic DNA (10 ng/1 μ l). PCR cycles: pre-denaturation 3 min at 94 °C, 45 cycles of denaturation 1 min at 94 °C, for 1 min at 56-59 °C, and for 2 min at 72 °C, followed by a cycle for 10 min at 72 °C. The bands provided by PCR products were determined by agarose gel electrophoresis. SSR-PCR products (100-700 bp) were run on prepared 5% agarose gel. Ethidium bromide (3 μ l) was added to the gel to display the resulting bands in UV light. The gel images were interpreted and then scored based on the allele size of the bands. In the present study, 11 SSR markers with easy scoring and high amplification ability were selected (Röder et al., 1998) (Table2).

Table 2. Primers and references of 11 SSR markers used in the study

| Marker | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer | Primer References |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Xgwm46 | GCA CGT GAA TGG ATT GGA C | TGA CCC AAT AGT GGT GGT CA | Röder et al., 1998 |
| Xgwm95 | GAT CAA ACA CAC ACC CCT CC | AAT GCA AAG TGA AAA ACC CG | Röder et al., 1998 |
| Xgwm120 | GAT CCA CCT TCC TCT CTC TC | GAT TAT ACT GGT GCC GAA AC | Röder et al., 1998 |
| Xgwm154 | TCA CAG AGA GAG AGG GAG GG | ATG TGT ACA TGT TGC CTG CA | Röder et al., 1998 |
| Xgwm155 | CAA TCA TTT CCC CCT CCC | AAT CAT TGG AAA TCC ATA TGC C | Röder et al., 1998 |
| Xgwm340 | GCA ATC TTT TTT CTG ACC ACG | ACG AGG CAA GAA CAC ACA TG | Röder et al., 1998 |
| Xgwm361 | GTA ACT TGT TGC CAA AGG GG | ACA AAG TGG CAA AAG GAG ACA | Röder et al., 1998 |
| Xgwm389 | ATC ATG TCG ATC TCC TTG ACG | TGC CAT GCA CAT TAG CAG AT | Röder et al., 1998 |
| Xgwm540 | TCT CGC TGT GAA ATC CTA TTT C | AGG CAT GGA TAG AGG GGC | Röder et al., 1998 |
| Xgwm558 | GGG ATT GCA TAT GAG ACA ACG | TGC CAT GGT TGT AGT AGC CA | Röder et al., 1998 |
| Xgwm601 | ATC GAG GAC GAC ATG AAG GT | TTA AGT TGC TGC CAA TGT TCC | Röder et al., 1998 |

Data Analysis

The scoring process was carried out using 1–0 technique due to the codominant marker system and data analyses were performed. The software Genalex 6.1 (Peakall and Smouse, 2001) was prefered to determine the genetic distances between populations using by the scoring data. Analyses were managed to these criteria using basic parameters such as allele frequencies, major allele frequencies, gene diversity and polymorphism information content (PIC) in determining genetic diversity. The powermarker v3.23 (Liu and Muse, 2005) software program was used for the calculations. PCoA (Principal Coordinate Analysis) was performed using the GenAlEx (Peakall and Smouse, 2001) software to identify genetic variations of populations. The neighbour-joining dendrogram was created using the POWERMARKER v3.25 (Liu and Muse, 2005) program. The neighbour-joining tree was visualized

| Dogan ILHAN and Hatice DEMIR | 11(4): 3128-3137, 2021 |
|--|---------------------------|
| Phylogeographic Structure of Kars Emmer Wheat (Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex Schübl) in | 1 Turkey Explained by SSR |
| Markers | |

using the DENDROSCOPE program for the molecular relationship of 12 individuals at the population level using the data obtained from here (Huson et al., 2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) and Molecular Phylogenetic Analysis

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was performed for 10 tetraploid and 2 hexaploid wheat genotypes for the aim of explaining the variations between populations at a minimum level *T. dicoccum* and *T. aestivum* species and wheat species are encoded with different colors. PCoA analysis examined *T. dicoccum* and *T. aestivum* wheat populations are grouped similarly to NJ dendrogram results (Fig. 1). The results show that *Triticum aestivum* forms a clearly distinct group from *Triticum dicoccum*. The cultivated wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) that we used for comparison in the study is expected to exhibit close relationship. According to the genetic distance values, 6 (Dikme) and 8 (Dağpınar) numbered populations were the closest to each other, while 4 (Koçköyü) and 11 (Değirmenköprü) numbered populations were the most distant to each other (Fig. 1). The distribution of *T. dicoccum* populations of the same species into different groups points to the level of genetic variation among genotypes.



Figure 1. Clusters based on the two main components obtained from the analysis using the 11 SSR marker, emmer wheat populations belonging to different locations (*T. dicoccum*) separation from each other and separation by *T. aestivum* population

Molecular phylogenetic analysis was performed to confirm the results from PCoA and to determine the molecular relationships of 12 wheat genotypes. POWERMARKER v3.25 software was used to perform this analysis. Data from the program was visualized with the DENDROSCOPE program, which was created to determine the molecular relationship of 12 individuals at the population level (Fig. 2). Based on the dendrogram, the 12 populations were divided into three main groups. Of these groups, the first main group was divided into two subgroups. In one of these subgroups, the İncesu population, and in the other subgroup, the Kürekdere, Dağpınar, Değirmenköprü and Dikme populations were clustered. In its second main group, it was seen to be seperated into two subgroups. Both subgroups formed subgroups again. The populations of Mezraa, Benliahmet and Koçköy villages were grouped in the first subgroup of the second main group. The Aşağı Kotanlı, Digor and Arpaçay populations were clustered in the other subgroup of the second main group. In the third main group, only the population is present.



Figure 2. Dendrogram shows geographic origins of 12 wheat populations together with Neighbour Joining tree based on genetic distances

Genetic Distance Analysis

Genetic distance is an expression of divergence between populations. If the distance value is small, it can be understood that there is a close genetic relationship; if the distance value is large, the genetic relationship is more distant. In the present study, the genetic distances between populations were computed with respect to the genetic distance calculation method of Nei (Nei, 1983). When we look at the results of the genetic distance for the populations, while the least genetic distance was between Dikme and Dağpınar villages in *T. dicoccum* populations, the maximum genetic distance was between Koçköy and Değirmenköprü villages in *T. dicoccum* populations (Table 3).

| for all loci according to Nel et al., (1983) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| Рор | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 1 | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0.18 | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 0.16 | 0.18 | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.09 | - | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.18 | - | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.13 | - | | | | | | |
| 7 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.06 | - | | | | | |
| 8 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.08 | - | | | | |
| 9 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.12 | - | | | |
| 10 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.12 | - | | |
| 11 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.20 | - | |
| 12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.10 | - |

Table 3. Genetic distance matrix of *T. aestivum* and *T. dicoccum* populations based on SSR analysis calculated for all loci according to Nei et al., (1983)

Correlation of Geographic and Genetic Distances

To elucidate the relationship between geographic distance and genetic distance, we computed the genetic distance matrix for populations using GenAlEx 6.1 (Peakall and Smouse, 2001) software. The latitude and longitude values of the populations are given in Table 4. Then, we determined the

| Dogan ILHAN and Hatice DEMIR | 11(4): 3128-3137, 2021 |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Phylogeographic Structure of Kars Emmer Wheat (Triticum dicoccum Schrank ex | Schübl) in Turkey Explained by SSR |
| Markers | |

geographic distance matrix of the populations (Table 5). A statistically weak negative correlation was found in the comparison between geographic distance and genetic distance for all genotypes ($R^2=0.04$) (Fig. 3).

| Pop. Number | Wheat Locations | Latitude | Longitude |
|-------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | Dağpınar Village (Digor District) | 40.46344900 | 43.32416601 |
| 2 | Aşağı kotanlı Village (SelimDistrict) | 40.46218999 | 42.90562599 |
| 3 | Benliahmet Village (SelimDistrict) | 40.48790500 | 42.90257291 |
| 4 | Koçköy Village (ArpaçayDistrict) | 40.86334199 | 43.51571701 |
| 5 | İncesu Village (SusuzDistrict) | 40.74201600 | 43.12925090 |
| 6 | Dikme Village (Kars Town) | 40.50762901 | 42.98111494 |
| 7 | Kürekdere Village (ArpaçayDistrict) | 40.71816590 | 43.49843090 |
| 8 | Dağpınar Village (Digor District) | 40.46344900 | 43.32416601 |
| 9 | Geçit Village (AkyakaDistrict) | 40.77485460 | 43.51654368 |
| 10 | MezraaVillage (Kars Town) | 40.69680501 | 43.17520889 |
| 11 | Değirmenköprü Village (ArpaçayDistrict) | 40.81860901 | 43.42140101 |
| 12 | Arpaçay Town | 40.84674701 | 43.33209501 |

Table 4. Latitude and longitude data of T. dicoccum and T. aestivum wheat populations

Table 5. Geographic distance matrix (km) was calculated for all genotypes of *T. dicoccum* and *T. aestivum* populations

| Pop | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 35 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 36 | 3 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 47 | 68 | 66 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 35 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 29 | 8 | 7 | 60 | 29 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 7 | 32 | 58 | 56 | 16 | 31 | 50 | 0 | | | | | |
| 8 | 0 | 35 | 36 | 47 | 35 | 29 | 32 | 0 | | | | |
| 9 | 38 | 62 | 61 | 10 | 33 | 54 | 6 | 38 | 0 | | | |
| 10 | 29 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 6 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 30 | 0 | | |
| 11 | 40 | 59 | 57 | 9 | 26 | 51 | 13 | 40 | 9 | 25 | 0 | |
| 12 | 43 | 56 | 54 | 16 | 21 | 48 | 20 | 43 | 17 | 21 | 8 | 0 |



Figure 3. Mantel test regression between geographic and genetic distance matrices of 12 populations

| Dogan ILHAN and Hatice DEMIR | 11(4): 3128-3137, 2021 |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Phylogeographic Structure of Kars Emmer Wheat (Triticum dicoccum Schrank e | ex Schübl) in Turkey Explained by SSR |

Markers

DNA markers have become particularly easy after the discovery of polymerase chain reaction (Joshi et

Studies such as gene tagging, genetic mapping, genetic diversity, phylogenetic analysis using

al., 2000). In wheat, the most common cereal group, molecular markers are used to determine differences in specific loci at species or population level. Molecular markers are the tools involved in the explaining of variations by utilizing the knowledge of polymorphism among populations or genotypes (Madhumati, 2014; Mandal et al., 2018) The SSRs used in the present study are among the molecular marker techniques used in many fields such as phylogenetic relationship, genetic diversity, genetic mapping, population genetics, evolutionary genetics (Pagnotta et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2015; Kumar et al., 2016; Zarei et al., 2016). In the current study, Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was performed to identify genetic variations. PCoA results showed T. aestivum populations located a separate group (Digor and Aşağı Kotanlı populations) as expected. All of emmer wheat populations were originated from Kars region, i.e. from similar eco-geographic region. However, the PCoA analysis revealed that different clusters are observed. The populations of Benliahmet, Kocköy and Mezraa and the populations of Incesu, Dikme, Kürekdere and Dağpınar are clustered close together. The Geçit population formed a separate cluster. Arpaçay population was also in the same group as T. aestivum in dendrogram, while it was in different regions in PCoA analysis (Fig. 1). There are similar studies supporting these results. Fahima et al., (2002) reported that the genetic distances determined in their studies with wild emmer wheat from Turkey and Israel and the geographical distances between the populations were not related. Shizuka et al., (2015) investigated the genetic variation in Triticum turgidum ssp. dicoccoides populations and stated that a clear genetic differentiation was observed even though the distance between the populations was not much. These results can be explained by the differences of other geographical factors such as climate, latitude and longitude. In emmer wheat populations, there is no correlation between the locations of all genotypes and their genetic distances. A distance-based Neighbour Joining (NJ) tree was created to determine the molecular relationships of 12 populations using SSR markers in this study. When the dendrogram is analysed, emmer wheat was divided into three main groups. One of these main groups was only the Gecit population. The other main groups were the seperated subgroups within themselves. Also T. aestivum populations showed clustering together. Arpaçay T. dicoccum population was also in the same group as T. aestivum populations (Fig. 2). Similar results were obtained in other studies with T. dicoccum and T. aestivum wheat. Salunkhe et al., (2013) dendrograms using UPGMA in their molecular relationship analysis with SSR markers in T. dicoccon wheat and reported that 3 clusters were formed for 9 populations. Sarkar et al., (2014) examined 35 wheat varieties with 30 SSR markers and dendograms with UPGMA method and reported that all wheat genotypes were clustered in 3 main groups. Genetic distance is distance between populations. The high genetic distance value indicates that the difference between locations is excessive. In the present study, at the genetic distance matrix of 12 populations, we found that the most genetic distance was between the populations of Kocköy and Değirmenköprü in T. dicoccum populations, while the minimum genetic distance was between the Dikme and Dağpınar in T. dicoccum populations (Table 3). For all genotypes, our comparison between geographic distance and genetic distance revealed a statistically weak negative correlation (R²=0.04) (Table 5). There are numerous studies supporting the results of this study. Dong et al., (2009) analyzed 15 wild emmer wheat populations with 25 EST-SSR markers. In their study, they found the value of genetic distance between populations to be 0.4 on average and they stated that populations that were geographically distant from each other have lower genetic distance and that genetic distance was independent of the geographic region. Oliveria et al., (2012) examined 53 wheat populations of tetraploids and reported that they found a weak correlation between geographical distance and genetic 3134

distance (r = 0.21). Ren et al., (2013) in their study of genetic diversity in wild emmer wheats, they found r = 0.05 according to the results of the mantel test they performed to determine the relationship between genetic and geographical distance and stated that the genetic differentiation between populations was independent of geographical distance. Based on these studies, genetic differentiation among populations is not due to geographical distance in our study.

CONCLUSION

In the current study, we performed phylogeographic analyses for Kars's local emmer wheat together with cultivated *T. aestivum* using SSR markers. Due to the different geographical and climatic variations within Kars province, the phylogeographic structure of emmer wheat species in 3 main groups has also been supported by PCoA and phylogenetic studies. It is thought that this study will be a reference for the recognition of kavilca wheat (emmer), which is also rich in terms of nutrient content, and to expand the cultivation area in agriculture. In addition, genotypes showing superior characteristics of this wheat populations showing variation at molecular level are determined and it is thought that durum and bread wheat can be used successfully in breeding studies. It is also understood in parallel with literature studies that SSR markers are suitable molecular tools for molecular relationship, and genetic-geographically based distance analyses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is the part of PhD thesis of Hatice Demir. This research was funded by Scientific Research Projects of Kafkas University in Turkey with Project No: 2018-FM-16. We would like to thank retired Agricultural Engineer Necdet TAŞDEMİR and farmers of Kars villages who supported us in local wheat seeds.

Conflict of Interest

I declare that there is no conflict interest for writers of this article.

Authors Contributions

The authors declare that they contribute equally to the article.

REFERENCES

- Abbasov M, Akparov Z, Gross T, Babayeva S, Izzatullayeva V, Hajiyev E, Rustamov K, Gross P, Tekin M, Akar T, Chao S, Brueggeman R, 2018. Genetic Relationship of Diploid Wheat (*Triticum* spp.) Species Assessed by SSR Markers. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution; 65 (5): 1441-1453.
- Arystanbekkyzy M, Nadeem MA, Aktaş H, Yeken MZ, Zencirci N, Nawaz MA, Ali F, Haider MS, Tunc K, Chung G, Baloch FS, 2018. Phylogenetic and Taxonomic Relationship of Turkish Wild and Cultivated Emmer (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides*) Revealed by IPBS-Retrotransposons Markers. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 21 (1): 155-163.
- Asmamaw M, Keneni G, Tesfaye K, 2019. Genetic Diversity of Ethiopian Durum Wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) Landrace Collections as Reveled by SSR Markers. Advances in Crop Science and Technology, 7: 413. Doi:10.4172/2329-8863.1000413.
- Demirel F, 2020. Genetic Diversity of Emmer Wheats Using IPBS-Retrotranspozon Markers. European Journal of Science and Technology No. 20, pp. 640-646.
- Demirel F, Gurcan K, Akar T, 2019. Clustering Analysis of Morphological and Phenological Data in Einkorn and Emmer Wheats Collected from Kastamonu Region. International Journal of Scientific and Technological Research, 5 (11): 25-36.

- Dhanavath S, Prasada Rao UJS, 2017. Nutritional and Nutraceutical Properties of *Triticum dicoccum* Wheat and Its Health Benefits: An Overview. Journal of Food Science, 82 (10): 2243-2250.
- Dong P, Wei Y-M, Chen G-Y, Li W, Wang J-R, Nevo E, Zheng Y-L, 2009. EST-SSR Diversity Correlated with Ecological and Genetic Factors of Wild Emmer Wheat in Israel. Hereditas 146: 1-10.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, 1990. Isolation of Plant DNA from Fresh Tissue. Focus 12: 13–15.
- Ehtemam MH, Rahiminejad MR, Saeidi H, Tabatabaei BES, Krattinger SG, Keller B, 2010. Relationships among the A Genomes of *Triticum* L. Species as Evidenced by SSR Markers, in Iran. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 11 (11): 4309-4325.
- Fahima T, Röder MS, Wendelhake K, Kirzhmer V, Nevo E, 2002. Microsatellite Polymorphism in Natural Populations of Wild Emmer Wheat, *Triticum dicoccoides*, Israel. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 104: 17-29.
- Grover A, Sharma PC, 2015. Development and Use of Molecular Markers: Past and Present. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology, 36 (2): 290-302.
- Harlan JR, 1981. The Early History of Wheat: Earliest Traces to the Sack of Rome. In: Evans L.T. and Peacock W.J. (eds.), Wheat Science–Today and Tomorrow. Cambridge Press, 1–19.
- Huson DH, Rupp R, Scornavacca C, 2010. Phylogenetic Networks: Concepts, Algorithms and Applications. Cambridge University.
- İlhan D, Li X, Brummer C, Şakiroğlu M, 2016. Genetic Diversity and Population Structure of Tetraploid Accessions of the *Medicago sativa-falcata* Complex. Crop Science, 56: 1146-1156.
- Joshi SP, Gupta VS, Aggarwa RK, Ranjekar PK, Brar DS, 2000. Genetic Diversity and Phylogenetic Relationship as Revealed by Inter Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR) Polymorphism in the Genus Oryza. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 100: 1311–1320.
- Kacem NS, Muhovski Y, Djekoun A, Watillon B, 2017. Molecular Characterization of Genetic Variation in Soma Clones of Durum Wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf) Using SSR markers. European Scientific Journal, 13: 426-437.
- Kara K, Rached-Kanouni M, Mnasri S, Khammar H, Ben Naceur MB, 2020. Genetic Variability Assessment in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) Grown in Algeria Using Microsatellites SSR Markers. Biodiversitas, 21: 2638-2644.
- Karagöz A, 1996. Agronomic Practices and Socioeconomic Aspects of Emmer and Einkorn Cultivation in Turkey. In: Padulosi S, Hammer K, Heller J (eds) Hulled Wheats, Promoting the Conservation and Used of Underutilized and Neglected Crops. IPGRI, Rome, pp 172–177.
- Karagöz A, 2014. Wheat Landraces of Turkey. Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture, 26: 149–156.
- Khan MK, Pandey A, Thomas G, Akkaya MS, Kayis SA, Ozsensoy Y, Hamurcu M, Gezgin S, Topal A, Hakkı EE, 2015. Genetic Diversity and Population Structure of Wheat in India and Turkey. AoB PLANTS 7:plv083; doi:10.1093/aobpla/plv083.
- Kumar S, Kumar V, Kumari P, Singh AK, Singh R, 2016. DNA Fingerprinting and Genetic Diversity Studies in Wheat Genotypes Using SSR Markers. Journal of Environmental Biology, 37: 319-326.
- Liu J, Muse SV, 2005. PowerMarker: An Integrated Analysis Environment for Genetic Marker Analysis. Raleigh, NC: North Carolina State University, Bioindormatics Research Center, 21: 2128-2129.
- Madhumati B, 2014. Potential and Application of Molecular Markers Techniques for Plant Genome Analysis. International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience, 2 (1): 169-188.
- Mandal L, Verma Kumar S, Sasmal S, Katara J, 2018. Potential Applications of Molecular Markers in Plant. Curr Trends Biomedical Eng&Biosci., 12 (4).

- Nei M, Tajima F, Tateno Y, 1983. Accuracy of Estimated Phylogenetic Trees from Molecular Data. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 19: 153-170.
- Pagnotta MA, Mondini L, Codianni P, Fares C, 2009. Agronomical, Quality and Molecular Characterization of Twenty Italian Emmer Wheat (*Triticum dicoccon*) Accessions. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, 56: 299-310.
- Peakall R, Smouse PE, 2001. GenAlEx V6: Genetic Analysis in Excel Population Genetic Software for Teaching and Research. Australian National University, Canberra. http://wwwanueduau/BoZo/ GenAlE.
- Peng JH, Sun D, Nevo E, 2011a. Will Emmer Wheat, *Triticum dicoccoides*, Occupies A Pivotal Position in Wheat Domestication Process. Australian Journal of Crop Science; 5 (9): 1127-1143.
- Perrino P, Hammer K, 1982. *Triticum monococcum* L. and *T. dicoccum* S. are stil Cultivated in Italy. Genetica Agraria, 36: 303-311.
- Rafeipour M, Mirzaghaderi G, Shaaf S, Badakhshan H, 2016. SSR Assessment of the Genetic Diversity of Emmer Wheat with Emphasis on Iranian Landraces (*Triticum dicoccon* Schrank). Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution, 63: 595-600.
- Ren J, Chen L, Sun D, You FM, Wang J, Peng Y, Nevo E, Beiles A, Sun D, Luo M-C, Peng J, 2013. SNP-Revealed Genetic Diversity in Wild Emmer Wheat Correlates with Ecological Factors. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 13 (1): 169.
- Röder MS, Korzun V, Wendehake K, Plaschke J, Tixier M-H, Leroy P, Ganal MW, 1998. A Microsatellite Map of Wheat. Genetics 149: 2007-2023.
- Salehi M, Arzani A, Talebi M, Rokhzadi A, 2018. Genetic Diversity of Wheat Wild Relatives Using SSR Markers. Genetika, 50 (1): 131-141.
- Salunkhe T, Tamhankar S, Tetali S, Zaharieva M, Bonnett D, Trethowan R, Misra S, 2013. Molecular Genetic Diversity Analysis in Emmer Wheat (*Triticum dicoccon* Schrank) from India. Genetic Resource and Crop Evolution, 60: 165-174.
- Sarkar S, Singh AM, Chakraborti M, Singh SK, Ahlawat AK, Singh GP, 2014. Analysis of Genetic Diversity among the Indian Bread Wheat Cultivars Using Microsatellite (SSR) Markers. Indian Journal Genetic, 74 (4): 502-505.
- Shizuka T, Mori N, Ozkan H, Ohta S, 2015. Chloroplast DNA Haplotype Variation within Two Natural Populations of Wild Emmer Wheat (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides*) in Southern Turkey. Biotechnology&Biotechnological Equipment, 29 (3): 423-430.
- Tahır R, Abdul N, 2010. Germination Characteristics and Molecular Characterizations of Some Wheat Varieties in Sulaimanyah by SSR Marker. Turk Journal Biology, 34: 109-117.
- Verma SP, VN Pathak, OP Verma 2019. Interrelationship between Yield and Its Contributing Traits in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science, 8 (2):3209-3215.
- Zaharieva M, Geleta AA, Al H, Satish CM, Monneveux P, 2010. Cultivated Emmer Wheat (*Triticum dicoccon* Schrank), An Old Crop with Promising Future. Genetic Resource and Crop Evolution, 157: 937-962.
- Zarei Abbasabad E, Mohammadi SA, Moghaddam M, Jalal Kamali MR, 2016. Analysis of Genetic Diversity, Population Structure and Linkage Disequilibrium in Iranian Wheat Landraces Using SSR Markers. Plant Genetic Resources, 1:1-8.