

The Effects of Associated Impairments on Severity of Cerebral Palsy: Insights from a Single-Center

Cemil ÖZAL*, Kübra SEYHAN BIYIK**, Özge ÇANKAYA***, Kıvanç DELİOĞLU****, Sefa ÜNEŞ*****, Merve TUNÇDEMİR*****, Fulya İPEK ERDEM*****, Mintaze KEREM GÜNEL*****

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the study was to describe the distribution of the impairment index across Cerebral Palsy (CP) subtypes and Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) levels and investigate the relationship between CP subtypes, birth weight, gestational age, and the impairment index.

Method: This retrospective study was conducted with 423 children with CP aged between 0-18 years. Data were gathered from the medical records of children. Birth weight and week, functional classification levels, intellectual impairment, presence of vision, hearing problems, epilepsy recorded. All children were classified according to the GMFCS, Manual Abilities Classification System (MACS), Communication Function Classification System (CFC), Eating and Drinking Abilities Classification System (EDACS), and CP subtypes. Participants were categorized according to Impairment Index (II) that consist of gross motor, intellectual, vision and hearing impairments and epilepsy. Multivariate backward modelling linear regression model was used to explain relations between impairment index and functional classification systems, birth weight and birth week.

Results: Amongst the 423 children (mean age 6.38±4.57 years) analyzed, 130 (30.7) of the children had low impairment, 159 (31.7%) of them had moderate impairment, and 134 (31.7%) of them had high impairment according to the II. In unilateral spastic type CP, 61.5% had a low impairment index ($p<0.05$), in bilateral spastic CP, 44.2% of children had a moderate impairment index ($p<0.05$), in dyskinetic CP 67.9% of children had a high impairment index ($p<0.05$). In ataxic type there were not any difference significantly between impairment index levels ($p=0.06$). As a result of regression analysis gross motor function level (Beta=0.85, $p<0.01$) and birth weight (Beta=-0.05, $p=0.04$) were predictors of the Impairment Index, and explained 73% of the variance.

Conclusion: Nearly one third of the children had high II; birth weight and gross motor functional level are the predictors of II. These results can help improve rehabilitation and social services.

Keywords: Cerebral palsy, physiotherapy, impairment, ICF.

Özgün Araştırma Makalesi (Original Research Article)

Geliş / Received: 14.08.2024 & **Kabul / Accepted:** 20.03.2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.38079/igusabder.1529388>

* Corresponding Author, PT, PhD., Faculty of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Türkiye. E-mail: cemilozal@hotmail.com [ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5692-3814](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5692-3814)

** PT, PhD. Asst. Prof., Faculty of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Türkiye. E-mail: kubra.seyhan@yahoo.com [ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7943-4255](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7943-4255)

*** PT, PhD. Asst. Prof. Faculty of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Health Sciences University, Ankara, Türkiye. E-mail: ozgemuezzinoglu@gmail.com [ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2116-8924](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2116-8924)

**** PT, PhD. Asst. Prof., Faculty of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Türkiye. E-mail: kvncdelioglu@gmail.com [ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5898-3685](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5898-3685)

***** PT, PhD. Asst. Prof., Faculty of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Bingöl University, Bingöl, Türkiye. E-mail: sefaunes@gmail.com [ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0130-8440](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0130-8440)

***** PT, PhD. Asst. Prof. Bitlis Eren University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Bitlis, Türkiye. E-mail: merve.kd@hotmail.com [ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3453-6893](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3453-6893)

***** PT. MSc., Faculty of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, 9 Eylül University, İzmir, Türkiye. E-mail: fulyaipek92@gmail.com [ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7606-042X](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7606-042X)

***** PT. PhD. Prof., Faculty of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Türkiye. E-mail: mintaze@hacettepe.edu.tr [ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4942-5272](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4942-5272)

ETHICAL STATEMENT: Gathered from Hacettepe University, Non-invasive Clinical Researches Ethical Committee (Number: GO 20/356; Date: 17.04.2020).

Serebral Palside Eşlik Eden Bozuklukların Serebral Palsi Şiddetine Etkisi: Tek Merkez Bulguları

Öz

Amaç: Çalışmanın amacı, Serebral Palsi (SP) alt tipleri ve Kaba Motor Fonksiyon Sınıflandırma Sistemi (GMFCS) seviyeleri arasında Bozukluk İndeksi (Bİ) dağılımını tanımlamak ve Bİ, SP alt tipleri, doğum ağırlığı ve doğum haftası arasındaki ilişkiyi incelemektir.

Yöntem: Bu retrospektif çalışma 0-18 yaş aralığında 423 SP'li çocukla yürütüldü. Veriler çocukların tıbbi kayıtlarından toplandı. Doğum ağırlığı ve haftası, fonksiyonel sınıflandırma seviyeleri, bilişsel bozukluk, görme, işitme sorunları, epilepsi varlığı kaydedildi. Tüm çocuklar GMFCS, El Becerileri Sınıflandırma Sistemi (MACS), Yeme ve İçme Becerileri Sınıflandırma Sistemi (EDACS), İletişim Fonksiyonu Sınıflandırma Sistemi (CFCS) ve SP alt tiplerine göre sınıflandırıldı. Katılımcılar, kaba motor, bilişsel, görme ve işitme bozuklukları ile epilepsiden oluşan Bİ'ye göre kategorize edildi. Bİ ile fonksiyonel sınıflandırma sistemleri, doğum ağırlığı ve doğum haftası arasındaki ilişkileri açıklamak multivariat doğrusal regresyon modeli kullanıldı.

Bulgular: Analiz edilen 423 çocuktan (ortalama yaş $6,38 \pm 4,57$ yıl) Bİ'ye göre 130'unda (%30,7) düşük düzeyde bozukluk, 159'unda (%31,7) orta düzeyde bozukluk ve 134'ünde (%31,7) yüksek düzeyde bozukluk saptandı. Unilateral spastik SP'li çocukların %61,5'inde düşük düzeyde Bİ ($p < 0,05$), bilateral spastik SP'li çocukların %44,2'sinde orta düzeyde Bİ ($p < 0,05$), diskinetik SP'li çocukların %67,9'unda yüksek düzeyde Bİ ($p < 0,05$) saptandı. Ataksik tipte ise Bİ düzeyleri arasında anlamlı bir fark yoktu ($p = 0,06$). Regresyon analizi sonucunda kaba motor fonksiyon düzeyi (Beta=0,85; $p < 0,01$) ve doğum ağırlığının (Beta=-0,05; $p = 0,04$) Bİ'nin yordayıcıları olduğu ve varyansın %73'ünü açıkladığı görüldü.

Sonuç: Çocukların yaklaşık üçte biri yüksek Bİ'ye sahipti; doğum ağırlığı ve kaba motor fonksiyonel seviyesi Bİ'nin prediktörleridir. Bu sonuçlar, SP'de rehabilitasyona yönelik yaklaşımların geliştirilmesine ve sosyal hizmetlerin iyileştirilmesine yardımcı olabilir.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Serebral palsi, fizyoterapi, bozukluk, ICF.

Introduction

Cerebral palsy (CP) is the most prevalent cause of physical disability of childhood and defined as a group of lifelong non-progressive disorders that impact the development of posture and movement in the developing brain and resulted by activity limitation^{1,2}.

CP characterized by motor function, posture and communication³ impairments; moreover, associated problems like epilepsy and intellectual impairment as well as impairments of hearing and vision are common. The degree of these impairments varies from mild to severe inability⁴.

With use of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) framework, professionals started to apply the ICF framework both for interventions and research purposes⁵ and the influence of impairments of bodily structure and functions on social as well as personal life is understood better in accordance with the ICF framework. In those with CP, beside to CP definition, based on motor function and its neurological frame, the existence or lack of associated impairments significantly affect participation and as well as well-being⁶.

Although the prevalence of associated problems in people with CP varies according to the various surveillances, common associated impairments are intellectual disability within the range of 30–50%, epilepsy within 15-55%, vision within 10–100% and hearing impairment 30–40%. The prevalence of associated problems according to various

functional levels in CP is unclear. Therefore, investigation among functional state and associated problems in CP can be helpful for planning proper intervention programs⁷.

CP has been classified using a combination of the motor characteristics as well as classification systems such as the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS), the Manual Ability Classification System (MACS), the Communication Function Classification System (CFCS), and the Eating and Drinking Ability Classification System (EDACS). On the other hand, these classification systems explain functional capacity for each single ability, such as gross motor abilities, manual abilities or communication rather than severity level. There is no commonly agreed definition of "severe CP", definitions may refer to motor function only or to multiple impairments⁸. Therefore, recently, in order to evaluate the level of impact in people with CP together with motor, cognitive, and associated impairments, the "impairment index" has been defined, which evaluates the motor involvement severity, the associated impairments presence, and cognitive inadequacy together and defines the impact of CP on the child as mild, moderate, and severe impairment⁶.

This study analyses data hospital based data retrospectively and centered on the definition of CP based on the severity of impairment using the impairment index, which aims to describe impairments combination of as well as severity of these impairments. This study aims to describe the distribution of the impairment index across subtypes of CP and GMFCS levels, and evaluate the association between CP subtypes, birth weight, gestational age, and impairment index.

Material and Methods

This single-center retrospective study was completed at Hacettepe University, Faculty of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, between July 2020 - July 2022. Ethical approval for the study was gained from Hacettepe University, Ethical Committee of Non-invasive Clinical Researches (Number: GO 20/356; Date: 17.04.2020).

Study Population, Exclusion Criteria

Data of the study were collected from medical records of children. Inclusion criteria were being age <18 years, diagnosis of CP. Date of birth, birth weight and week, functional classification levels, intellectual impairment, presence of vision, hearing problems and epilepsy recorded. Children with missing any of these relevant records and any neurological, genetic, or metabolic disorders co-existence with CP were excluded.

Classification of Functional Abilities

The Turkish versions of GMFCS⁹, MACS¹⁰, CFCS¹¹, and EDACS¹² were used to classify the functional levels. All Turkish versions were valid and reliable⁹⁻¹².

Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS): classifies motor functional abilities in CP. The distinction between levels are based on functional limitations, the necessity for hand-held aids or wheeled devices for mobility^{9,13}.

Manual Abilities Classification System (MACS): classifies the hand skills of children with CP, like object grasping and releasing in daily life within five levels^{10,14}.

Eating and Drinking Abilities Classification System (EDACS): classifies eating and drinking abilities in children with CP into five levels. EDACS aims to differentiate and classify the daily eating and drinking abilities in people with CP^{12,15}.

Communication Function Classification System (CFCS): classifies the communication function of people with CP in daily life within five levels (Level I–V)^{11,16}.

Cerebral Palsy Classification

CP subtypes was classified according to the classification of Surveillance of CP in Europe as unilateral spastic (US-CP) bilateral spastic (BS-CP), dyskinetic, and ataxic¹⁷.

Associated Impairments

Intellectual impairment was determined according to the guidance research center of the Ministry of National Education; visual and hearing impairments, and epilepsy were recorded according to medical records of the last testing. In case of lack of medical reports, the patient excluded from the study.

Intellectual impairment was categorized into three intelligence quotient (IQ) categories or estimated IQ: normal/near-normal intellect (IQ>70), mild to moderate intellect (IQ between 50-69), and severe impairment of intellect (IQ<50)⁶. Impairments of vision and hearing were noted as the existence or non-existence. Presence of epilepsy, which is described as having two unprovoked seizures or receiving antiepileptic treatment, determined by a child neurologist according to medical reports.

Impairment Index

Impairment index consists of three levels according to the severity and impairment combinations.

Low impairment was defined as GMFCS Level I–II (able to walk without any support), IQ>70, neither impairment of visual nor hearing, and not having epilepsy.

High impairment was defined as GMFCS Level IV–V (inability to walk) and/or IQ<50 (severe impairment of intellect) with or without at least one of the impairments of visual, hearing, and active epilepsy.

Moderate impairment was defined as GMFCS Level I–II, IQ>70, but with one or more of the impairment of visual, hearing, and active epilepsy; or being GMFCS Level I–II with an IQ between 50-70, with or without at least one of the impairment of visual, hearing, and active epilepsy; or GMFCS Level III (walking with support), with an IQ<50 with or without one or more of the impairment of visual, hearing, and active epilepsy⁶.

Statistical Methods

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 21.0 was used. Categorical variables were given as numbers and percentages. Chi-square test was used as appropriate for measurement of relations. Multivariate backward modelling linear regression model was used to explain relations between impairment index and functional classification systems, birth weight and birth week.

Results

423 children with CP who met the inclusion criteria included. Majority of children, 76.6% (n=324) were spastic type (unilateral and bilateral), following dyskinetic (n=78, 18.4%),

and 5% (n=21) were ataxic. The social and demographic properties are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Social and demographic properties of participants

Social and demographic properties		Mean±Standard Deviation (min – max)	
Age (years)		6.38±4.57 (2-18)	
Birth Week		35.40±4.63 (23 – 43)	
Birth Weight (grams)		2541.83±929.36 (660 – 5750)	
Gender	Female n (%)	179 (42.3)	
	Male n (%)	244 (57.7)	
Clinical Type		n	%
Unilateral Spastic		109	25.8
Bilateral Spastic		215	50.8
Dyskinetic		78	18.4
Ataxic		21	5.0

n, number; % percentage

Among classification systems, according to the GMFCS, 23.6% (n=100) were level 1, 27.0% (n=114) were level II, 18.9% (n=80) were level III, 16.3% (n=69) were level IV and 14.2% (n=60) were level V; according to the MACS, 41.8% (n=117) were level 1, 23.9% (n=101) were level II, 13.9% (n=59) were level III, 12.3% (n=52) were level IV and 8.0% (n=34) were level V. According to the CFCS, 57.7% (n=224) were level 1, 14.9% (n=63) were level II, 13.9% (n=59) were level III, 7.8% (n=33) were level IV and 5.7% (n=24) were level V and according to the EDACS, 64.8% (n=274) were level 1, 15.1% (n=64) were level II, 9.9% (n=42) were level III, 4.5% (n=19) were level IV and 5.7% (n=24) were level V.

The distribution of GMFCS, MACS, CFCS and EDACS according to clinical types are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Distribution of GMFCS, MACS, CFCS and EDACS according to clinical types

Clinical type		GMFCS n (%)	MACS n (%)	CFCS n (%)	EDACS n (%)
Unilateral spastic (n=109)	Level I	63 (57.8)	56 (51.4)	91 (83.5)	100 (91.7)
	Level II	43 (39.4)	34 (31.2)	15 (13.8)	5 (4.6)
	Level III	3 (2.8)	17 (15.6)	3 (2.8)	4 (3.7)
	Level IV	-	2 (1.8)	-	-
	Level V	-	-	-	-
Bilateral spastic (n=215)	Level I	30 (14.0)	102 (47.4)	121 (56.3)	140 (65.1)
	Level II	45 (20.9)	42 (19.5)	27 (12.6)	29 (13.5)
	Level III	67 (31.2)	25 (11.6)	32 (14.9)	18 (8.4)
	Level IV	39 (18.1)	25 (11.6)	19 (8.8)	13 (6.0)
	Level V	14 (15.8)	21 (9.8)	16 (7.4)	15 (7.0)
Dyskinetic (n=78)	Level I	3 (3.8)	8 (10.3)	14 (17.9)	18 (23.1)
	Level II	15 (19.2)	17 (21.8)	20 (25.6)	25 (32.1)

	Level III	5 (6.4)	15 (19.2)	22 (28.2)	20 (25.6)
	Level IV	29 (37.2)	25 (32.1)	14 (17.9)	6 (7.7)
	Level V	26 (33.3)	13 (16.7)	8 (10.3)	9 (11.5)
Ataxic (n=21)	Level I	4 (19.0)	11 (52.4)	18 (85.7)	16 (76.2)
	Level II	11 (52.4)	8 (38.1)	1 (4.8)	5 (23.8)
	Level III	5 (23.8)	2 (9.5)	2 (9.5)	-
	Level IV	1 (4.8)	-	-	-
	Level V	-	-	-	-

n, number; % percentage

Associated impairments and gross motor function in overall CP. Among all the participants, 214 (50.6%) were walking without support (GMFCS Level I-II), 80 (18.9%) was walking with support (GMFCS Level III), and 129 (30.5%) of children were GMFCS Level IV-V. Most of the cases (n=231, 54.6%) had an IQ of 70 (normal intellect), following 135 (31.9%) an IQ between 50-69, and 57 (13.5%) an IQ of < 50. Impairment of the vision was in 133 (31.4%) of children, and impairment of hearing was in 23 (5.4%) of children. Overall, 103 (24.3%) had epilepsy. According to the Impairment Index, 130 (30.7) of the children had low impairment, 159 (31.7%) of them had moderate impairment, and 134 (31.7%) of them had high impairment. The frequency of categories according to the Impairment Index and associated impairments according to the CP subtypes were presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Impairment Index and associated impairments in CP subtypes

		Unilateral Spastic n (%)	Bilateral Spastic n (%)	Dyskinetic n (%)	Ataxic n (%)
Impairment Index	Low	67 (61.50)	43 (20.0)	10 (12.8)	10 (47.6)
	Moderate	42 (38.50)	95 (44.20)	13 (16.7)	9 (42.9)
	High	-	77 (35.80)	53 (67.9)	2 (9.5)
Gross Motor Function	Level I-II	106 (97.2)	75 (34.9)	18 (23.1)	15 (71.4)
	Level III	3 (2.8)	67 (31.2)	5 (6.4)	5 (23.8)
	Level IV-V	-	73 (34.0)	55 (70.5)	1 (4.8)
Intellectual	IQ <50	-	36 (16.7)	20 (25.6)	1 (4.8)
	IQ 50-70	20 (18.3)	66 (30.7)	45 (57.7)	4 (19.0)
	IQ >70	89 (81.7)	113 (52.6)	13 (16.7)	16 (76.2)
Visual	Yes	84 (22.0)	87 (40.5)	18 (23.1)	4 (19.0)
	No	85 (78.0)	128 (59.5)	60 (76.9)	17 (81.0)
Hearing	Yes	2 (1.8)	15 (7.0)	6 (7.7)	-
	No	107 (98.2)	200 (93.0)	72 (92.3)	21 (100.0)
Epilepsy	Yes	24 (22.0)	55 (25.6)	22 (28.2)	2 (9.5)
	No	85 (78.0)	160 (74.4)	56 (71.8)	19 (90.5)

n, number; % percentage

The results of the backward modelling linear regression analysis showed that among the independent variables, gross motor function level (Beta=0.85, p<0.01) and birth weight

(Beta=-0.05, p=0.04) were strong predictors of the Impairment Index, and explained 73% of the variance (Table 4).

Table 4. Results of regression analyses

Model: Multiple linear regression (backward modeling)						
Dependent variable	Independent variable	B	Std. Error	Beta	p	R ²
Impairment Index	Step 1					
	Constant	0.902	0.183		.000	0.731
	Birth weight	-0.041	0.030	-0.053	0.172	
	Birth week	-0.002	0.007	-0.011	0.784	
	Clinical type	-0.022	0.030	-0.022	0.474	
	GMFCS	0.472	0.028	0.816	.000	
	MACS	-0.007	0.030	-0.012	0.813	
	EDACS	0.030	0.035	0.042	0.386	
	CFCS	0.025	0.030	0.039	0.404	
	Step 2					
	Constant	0.904	0.182		.000	0.732
	Birth weight	-0.042	0.030	-0.053	0.165	
	Birth week	-0.002	0.007	-0.011	0.774	
	Clinical type	-0.021	0.030	-0.021	0.487	
	GMFCS	0.469	0.024	0.811	0.000	
	EDACS	0.028	0.033	0.039	0.403	
	CFCS	0.024	0.030	0.037	0.421	
	Step 3					
	Constant	0.859	0.093		0.000	0.732
	Birth weight	-0.048	0.022	-0.061	0.029	
	Clinical type	-0.022	0.030	-0.022	0.470	
	GMFCS	0.470	0.024	0.812	.000	
	EDACS	0.026	0.033	0.037	0.418	
	CFCS	0.024	0.030	0.036	0.424	
	Step 4					
	Constant	0.836	0.088		.000	0.733
	Birth weight	-0.049	0.022	-0.063	0.023	
	GMFCS	0.464	0.023	0.802	0.000	
EDACS	0.027	0.033	0.038	0.414		
CFCS	0.024	0.030	0.037	0.414		
Step 5						
Constant	0.830	0.087		.000	0.733	
Birth weight	-0.047	0.021	-0.060	0.029		
GMFCS	0.470	0.021	0.813	0.000		
CFCS	0.038	0.024	0.058	0.116		
Step 6						
Constant	0.829	0.087		.000	0.732	
Birth weight	-0.044	0.021	-0.056	0.041		
GMFCS	0.493	0.016	0.852	0.000		

Impairment index among to the CP subtypes varied significantly according to the Chi-Square test. In unilateral spastic CP, 61.50% of children had a low impairment index (p<0.05), in bilateral spastic CP, 44.2% of children had a moderate impairment index (p<0.05), in dyskinetic CP 67.9% of children had a high impairment index (p<0.05). In

ataxic type there were not a significant difference between impairment index levels ($p=0.06$) (Table 3).

Discussion

This study was a retrospective with a large population to understand the frequency of associated impairments among children with CP, especially targeting on the relatively numerous of children with CP, which gives the opportunity to investigate the effects of associated problems on all CP subtypes, as well as this study is one of the few studies, and in our knowledge the first study from Türkiye based on a novel classification, the impairment index which is in order to determine which children have relatively moderate or more severe impairment, it is important to characterize the combined effects of the many disabilities present in children with CP. This is because the children's needs and level of participation will be directly impacted by these.

Although the current study was not focused on the participation domain of ICF, according to the several studies, the effects of impairments on participation are well documented, and the influence of associated problems is significant and effects both function and participation of people with CP, and therefore it is ultimate to evaluate, understand, and follow the presence of impairments, such as motor, epilepsy, intellectual impairment as well as vision and hearing¹⁸. For instance, amongst factors affecting participation, gross motor function is the one of the most important factor in CP¹⁹ and according to the Alghamdi et al²⁰. (2017), Dang et al²¹. (2015), McManus et al. (2008)²², and Colver, et al²³. (2012) studies, motor skill is the major factor effecting participation of people with CP. Duke et al (2021) indicate that there is a relationship between comorbidities and limitation in school participation²⁴. Similarly, according to study of Burgess et al., decrease of daily activity related with presence of an intellectual impairment; and epilepsy was associated with decrease of daily activity as well as self-care in CP²⁵. Additionally, according to Crotti et al., (2024) within relation to motor function vision in daily life as manual abilities, and may influence the quality of life by limiting self-esteem, emotional and social well-being of these children²⁶. Moreover, van Gorp et al. were well documented that factors in childhood account for 79–90% of the variation in participation of young adult in home life as well as interpersonal relationships of individuals with CP. Children with decreased motor capacity, intellectual disability or epilepsy are at risk for limitation in participation in young adulthood²⁷. Therefore, as in current study, understanding impairments altogether is a key element to have opportunity enhancing participation in children with CP. Although classification systems like GMFCS, MACS, EDACS and CFCS are important tools for constitute common language to describe better and communicate about the largely heterogeneous functional skills of people with CP⁸ there is not a consensus on definition of “severity of CP”: definitions mostly refer a function as motor function only. Therefore, the Impairment Index provides a framework to describe the severity in a child with CP that closely related with participation.

In our study population sample, nearly one third of children (31.7%) were thus classified high impairment index level that will require comprehensive care and services to support because of this group has one or more associated severe impairment. One important finding of this study is that, when compared with spastic CP subtype, dyskinetic children

have much more tendency to having severe impairments, with 67.9% of these children had a high impairment index. Dyskinetic CP is the second largest subtype of CP affecting 10–20% of children with CP²⁸ and consistent with current study severe motor impairment and intellectual impairment are more frequent in dyskinetic CP than in other subtypes²⁹.

According to the regression analysis, beside the GMFCS, birth weight is one of the predictors of the severity of CP in term of the Impairment Index. Esih et al., in their study found an inverse association between birth weight and CP developing risk³⁰.

Horber et al. found a weak relationship between severity and birthweight, as well as birth week, in our study, we found birth weight as the predictor but not birth week⁶. These contradictory results indicate more researches need to investigate relationship between impairments, birth week and weight. On the other hand, similar to current study, Delacy et al. analyzed the associated impairments and gross motor function levels and found that with increasing GMFCS level, the amount of people with CP with each associated impairment also increased³¹.

The main limitation of the study was lack of changing severity by the time. Additionally, impact of etiological factors on severity should be investigated in future researches. Another limitation was, although the study based on such a large sample size, participants of the study based on a single center, therefore multicenter studies need for covering whole country.

Conclusion

In conclusion, according to the findings, dyskinetic subtype of CP is more severe form with bilateral spastic CP. Since, CP is a lifelong condition characterized by changes in function across the lifespan; the importance of interventions to improve outcomes in motor disorders associated with the condition³². Therefore, understanding the effects of associated impairments is important to organize and planning rehabilitation as well as social services. Clinical use of the disability index may guide effective and multidisciplinary rehabilitation practices. In the future studies it is recommended that to evaluate the effects of different disability levels of the disability index on activity and participation according to the ICF perspective.

Conflict of Interest: Authors declare that no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: Gathered from Hacettepe University, Non-invasive Clinical Researches Ethical Committee (Number: GO 20/356; Date: 17.04.2020).

REFERENCES

1. Rosenbaum P, Paneth N, Leviton A, et al. A report: The definition and classification of cerebral palsy April 2006. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2007;109(suppl 109):8-14. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2007.00201.x.
2. Monbaliu E, De La Peña MG, Ortibus E, et al. Functional outcomes in children and young people with dyskinetic cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2017;59(6):634-40. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.13406.

3. Patel DR, Neelakantan M, Pandher K, Merrick J. Cerebral palsy in children: A clinical overview. *Transl Pediatr.* 2020;9(Suppl 1):125-135. doi: 10.21037/tp.2020.01.01.
4. Jonsson U, Eek MN, Sunnerhagen KS, Himmelmann, K. Cerebral palsy prevalence, subtypes, and associated impairments: A population-based comparison study of adults and children. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2019;61(10):1162-1167. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14229.
5. Leonardi M, Lee H, Kostanjsek N, et al. 20 years of ICF-international classification of functioning, disability and health: Uses and applications around the world. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19(18):11321. doi: 10.3390/ijerph191811321.
6. Horber V, Fares A, Platt MJ, et al. Severity of cerebral palsy—the impact of associated impairments. *Neuropediatrics.* 2020;51(02):120-128. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1701669.
7. Rameshan S, Buch PM. Prevalence of comorbidities and their relationship to functional status of children with cerebral palsy. *Indian Journal of Child Health.* 2019;6(7):383-387. doi: 10.32677/IJCH.2019.v06.i07.013.
8. Paulson A, Vargus-Adams J. Overview of four functional classification systems commonly used in cerebral palsy. *Children.* 2017;4(4):30. doi: 10.3390/children4040030.
9. El Ö, Baydar M, Berk H, et al. Interobserver reliability of the Turkish version of the expanded and revised gross motor function classification system. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2012;34(12):1030-3. doi: 10.3109/09638288.2011.632466.
10. Akpınar P, Tezel CG, Eliasson AC, Icagasioglu A. Reliability and cross-cultural validation of the Turkish version of Manual Ability Classification System (MACS) for children with cerebral palsy. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2010;32(23):1910-6. doi: 10.3109/09638281003763796.
11. Mutlu A, Kara ÖK, Livanelioğlu A, et al. Agreement between parents and clinicians on the communication function levels and relationship of classification systems of children with cerebral palsy. *Disabil Health J.* 2018;11(2):281-6. doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2017.11.001.
12. Kerem Günel M, Ozal C, Seyhan Bıyık K, et al. The Turkish Version of the Eating and Drinking Ability Classification System: Intrarater reliability and the relationships with the other functional classification systems in children with cerebral palsy. *Turk J Physiother Rehabil.* 2020;31(3): 218-24. doi: 10.21653/tjpr.493150.
13. Palisano R, Rosenbaum P, Walter S, Russell D, Wood E, Galuppi B. Development and reliability of a system to classify gross motor function in children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 1997;39(4):214-23. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.1997.tb07414.x.
14. Eliasson AC, Krumlinde-Sundholm L, Rösblad B, et al. The Manual Ability Classification System (MACS) for children with cerebral palsy: Scale development

- and evidence of validity and reliability. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2006;48(7):549-54. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2006.tb01313.x.
15. Sellers D, Mandy A, Pennington L, Hankins M, Morris C. Development and reliability of a system to classify the eating and drinking ability of people with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2014;56(3):245-51. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.12352.
 16. Hidecker MJC, Paneth N, Rosenbaum PL, et al. Developing and validating the Communication Function Classification System for individuals with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2011;53(8):704-10. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2011.03996.x.
 17. Christine C, Dolk H, Platt MJ, Colver A, Prasauskiene A, KrägelohMann I; SCPE Collaborative Group. Recommendations from the SCPE collaborative group for defining and classifying cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2007;109(49):35-38 doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2007.tb12626.x.
 18. Gabis LV, Tsubary NM, Leon O, et al. Assessment of abilities and comorbidities in children with cerebral palsy. *Journal of Child Neurology.* 2015;12:1640-1645 doi: 10.1177/088307381557.
 19. Pashmdarfard M, Richards LG, Amini, M. Factors affecting participation of children with cerebral palsy in meaningful activities: Systematic review. *Occupational Therapy in Health Care.* 2021;35(4):442-479. doi: 10.1080/07380577.2021.1938339.
 20. Alghamdi MS, Chiarello LA, Palisano RJ, McCoy SW. Understanding participation of children with cerebral palsy in family and recreational activities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities.* 2017;69(1):96-104. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2017.07.006.
 21. Dang VM, Colver A, Dickinson HO, et al. Predictors of participation of adolescents with cerebral palsy: A European multi-centre longitudinal study. *Research in Developmental Disabilities.* 2015;36, 551-564. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2014.10.043.
 22. McManus V, Corcoran P, Perry IJ. Participation in everyday activities and quality of life in pre-teenage children living with cerebral palsy in South West Ireland. *BMC Pediatrics.* 2008;8(1):10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-8-50.
 23. Colver A, Thyen U, Arnaud C, et al. Association between participation in life situations of children with cerebral palsy and their physical, social, and attitudinal environment: A cross-sectional multicenter European study. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation.* 2012;93(12):2154-2164. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2012.07.011.
 24. Duke RE, Torty C, Okorie U, et al. Pattern of comorbidities in school-aged children with cerebral palsy in Cross River State, Nigeria. *BMC Pediatrics.* 2021;21:1-8. doi: 10.1186/s12887-021-02637-9.

25. Burgess A, Boyd RN, Chatfield MD, Ziviani J, Sakzewski L. Self-care performance in children with cerebral palsy: A longitudinal study. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2020;62(9):1061-1067. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14561.
26. Crotti M, Ortibus E, Itzhak NB, et al. The relation between visual functions, functional vision, and bimanual function in children with unilateral cerebral palsy. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*. 2024;152:104792. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-4045564/v1.
27. Van Gorp ME, Roebroek M, Van Eck M, et al. Childhood factors predict participation of young adults with cerebral palsy in domestic life and interpersonal relationships: A prospective cohort study. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2020;42(22):3162-3171. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2019.1585971.
28. Burç E, Özal C, Kerem Gunel M. The relationship among the functional levels, dyskinetic movements and participation in children with dyskinetic cerebral palsy. *Neurology Asia*. 2024;29(2). doi: 10.54029/2024kmn.
29. Reid SM, Meehan EM, Reddihough DS, Harvey AR. Dyskinetic vs spastic cerebral palsy: A cross sectional study comparing functional profiles, comorbidities, and brain imaging patterns. *J Child Neurol*. 2018;33(9):593-600. doi: 10.1177/0883073818776175.
30. Esih K, Trunk T, Osredkar D, et al. The impact of birthweight on the development of cerebral palsy: A population-based matched case-control study. *Early Human Development*. 2022;165:105533 doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2021.105533.
31. Delacy M, Reid SM on behalf of the Australian Cerebral Palsy Register Group. Profile of associated impairments at age 5 years in Australia by cerebral palsy subtype and Gross Motor Function Classification System level for birth years 1996–2005. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2016;58:50–56. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.13012.