



## On Stancu type Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer Operators Preserving $e^{2ax}$ , $a > 0$

Kadir KANAT , Melek SOFYALIOGLU\* 

Ankara Hacı Bayram Veli University, Polatlı Science and Arts Faculty, Department of Mathematics, 06900, Polatlı, Ankara, Turkey

### Highlights

- This paper focuses on linear positive operators preserving exponential functions.
- A Voronovskaya-type theorem is examined.
- Exponential modulus of continuity is investigated.

### Article Info

Received: 19/02/2020  
Accepted: 28/08/2020

### Keywords

Exponential functions  
Voronovskaya-type  
theorem,  
Modulus of Continuity

### Abstract

The present paper deals with the Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer-Stancu operators preserving  $e^{2ax}$  for  $a > 0$ . The uniform convergence of the constructed operators is mentioned in this paper. The rate of convergence is examined by employing two different modulus of continuities. After that, a Voronovskaya-type theorem is investigated for quantitative asymptotic estimation. Finally, a comparison is made theoretically to show that the new constructed operators perform well.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1985, Mazhar and Totik [1] defined Durrmeyer-type generalization of the Szász-Mirakyan operators. In 2017, Acar et al. [2] introduced a modification of the Szász-Mirakyan operators preserving constants and  $e^{2ax}$ ,  $a > 0$ . Then Deniz et al. [3] investigated the Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer operators reproducing  $e^{2ax}$  for  $a > 0$ . For  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$  and  $m > 0$  Stancu type Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer operators are given by Gupta et al. [4]

$$S_{m,r}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f; x) = m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-mx} \frac{(mx)^k}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-mt} \frac{(mt)^{k+r}}{(k+r)!} f\left(\frac{mt+\alpha}{m+\beta}\right) dt. \quad (1)$$

We consider the generalized form of the Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer-Stancu operators

$$S_{m,r}^{\alpha,\beta,\theta}(f; x) = m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-m\theta(x)} \frac{(m\theta(x))^k}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-mt} \frac{(mt)^{k+r}}{(k+r)!} f\left(\frac{mt+\alpha}{m+\beta}\right) dt, \quad (2)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ ,  $x \geq 0$  and  $m > 0$ . For notational convenience, we briefly denote the operators  $S_{m,r}^{\alpha,\beta,\theta}$  as  $S_{m,r}^{\theta}$ . In this paper, we study the Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer-Stancu operators preserving  $e^{2ax}$  for  $a > 0$ . In this situation, the function  $\theta(x)$  which satisfies  $S_{m,r}^{\theta}(e^{2at}; x) = e^{2ax}$  is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2ax} &= m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-m\theta(x)} \frac{(m\theta(x))^k}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-mt} \frac{(mt)^{k+r}}{(k+r)!} e^{\frac{2a(mt+\alpha)}{m+\beta}} dt \\ &= \left(\frac{m+\beta}{m+\beta-2a}\right)^{r+1} e^{\frac{2a\alpha}{m+\beta} + \theta(x) \left(\frac{m(m+\beta)}{m+\beta-2a} - m\right)}, \quad m + \beta > 2a. \end{aligned}$$

By simple computations, we have

$$\theta(x) = \frac{m+\beta-2a}{2am} \left\{ \frac{2a((m+\beta)x-\alpha)}{m+\beta} + (r+1) \ln \left( \frac{m+\beta-2a}{m+\beta} \right) \right\}, \quad m+\beta > 2a. \quad (3)$$

The aim of the current paper is to investigate the approximation properties of the Stancu type Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer operators preserving  $e^{2ax}$ ,  $a > 0$  defined by (2), with  $\theta(x)$  given in (3). By taking  $\theta(x) = x$  and  $\alpha = \beta = r = 0$ , we obtain the Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer operators [1]. Some recent papers are Szász-Mirakyan type operators which fix exponentials [5], Szász-Mirakyan operators which preserve exponential functions [6], Baskakov-Szász-Stancu operators which preserve exponential functions [7], Baskakov-Szász-Mirakyan-type operators preserving exponential type functions [8] and Szász-Mirakyan-Kantorovich operators which preserve  $e^{-x}$  [9].

## 2. SOME AUXILIARY RESULTS

Here, for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$  and  $m + \beta > 2a$ , we present three lemmas which are necessarily used in the proof of the theorems.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $f(t) = e^{-At}$ . Then for the Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer-Stancu operators we have

$$S_{m,r}^\theta(e^{-At}; x) = \left(1 - \frac{A}{m+\beta+A}\right)^{r+1} e^{-A\left(\frac{m\theta(x)}{m+\beta+A} + \frac{\alpha}{m+\beta}\right)}. \quad (4)$$

Here,  $\theta(x)$  is given by (3).

**Lemma 2.** Let  $e_k(t) = t^k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Then we have the next equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m,r}^\theta(e_0; x) &= 1, \\ S_{m,r}^\theta(e_1; x) &= \frac{m}{m+\beta} \theta(x) + \frac{r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta}, \\ S_{m,r}^\theta(e_2; x) &= \frac{m^2}{(m+\beta)^2} \theta^2(x) + \frac{m(2r+2\alpha+4)}{(m+\beta)^2} \theta(x) + \frac{r^2+(3+2\alpha)r+\alpha^2+2\alpha+2}{(m+\beta)^2}, \\ S_{m,r}^\theta(e_3; x) &= \frac{m^3}{(m+\beta)^3} \theta^3(x) + \frac{(3r+9+3\alpha)m^2}{(m+\beta)^3} \theta^2(x) + \frac{(3r^2+15r+18+6\alpha r+12\alpha+3\alpha^2)m}{(m+\beta)^3} \theta(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{r^3+6r^2+11r+6+3\alpha(r^2+3r+2)+3\alpha^2(r+1)+\alpha^3}{(m+\beta)^3}, \\ S_{m,r}^\theta(e_4; x) &= \frac{m^4}{(m+\beta)^4} \theta^4(x) + \frac{(4r+16+4\alpha)m^3}{(m+\beta)^4} \theta^3(x) + \frac{(6r^2+(42+12\alpha)r+72+36\alpha+6\alpha^2)m^2}{(m+\beta)^4} \theta^2(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{(4r^3+(36+12\alpha)r^2+(104+60\alpha+12\alpha^2)r+96+72\alpha+24\alpha^2+4\alpha^3)m}{(m+\beta)^4} \theta(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{r^4+(10+4\alpha)r^3+(35+24\alpha+6\alpha^2)r^2+(50+44\alpha+18\alpha^2+4\alpha^3)r+24+24\alpha+12\alpha^2+4\alpha^3+\alpha^4}{(m+\beta)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.** For  $k = 0, 1, 2, 4$ . we briefly denote  $\phi_x^k(t) = (t-x)^k$ . Then for the central moments we get the equalities as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^0; x) &= 1, \\ S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) &= \frac{m}{m+\beta} \theta(x) + \frac{r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} - x, \\ S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) &= \left(\frac{m}{m+\beta} \theta(x) - x\right)^2 - \frac{2x(r+\alpha+1)}{m+\beta} + \frac{m(2r+2\alpha+4)\theta(x)+r^2+(3+2\alpha)r+\alpha^2+2\alpha+2}{(m+\beta)^2}, \\ S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x) &= \frac{m^4}{(m+\beta)^4} \theta^4(x) + \frac{(4r+16+4\alpha)m^3}{(m+\beta)^4} \theta^3(x) + \frac{(6r^2+(42+12\alpha)r+72+36\alpha+6\alpha^2)m^2}{(m+\beta)^4} \theta^2(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{(4r^3+(36+12\alpha)r^2+(104+60\alpha+12\alpha^2)r+96+72\alpha+24\alpha^2+4\alpha^3)m}{(m+\beta)^4} \theta(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{r^4+(10+4\alpha)r^3+(35+24\alpha+6\alpha^2)r^2+(50+44\alpha+18\alpha^2+4\alpha^3)r+24+24\alpha+12\alpha^2+4\alpha^3+\alpha^4}{(m+\beta)^4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4x \left( \frac{m^3}{(m+\beta)^3} \theta^3(x) + \frac{(3r+9+3\alpha)m^2}{(m+\beta)^3} \theta^2(x) + \frac{(3r^2+15r+18+6\alpha r+12\alpha+3\alpha^2)m}{(m+\beta)^3} \theta(x) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{r^3+6r^2+11r+6+3\alpha(r^2+3r+2)+3\alpha^2(r+1)+\alpha^3}{(m+\beta)^3} \right) \\
& + 6x^2 \left( \frac{m^2}{(m+\beta)^2} \theta^2(x) + \frac{m(2r+2\alpha+4)}{(m+\beta)^2} \theta(x) + \frac{r^2+(3+2\alpha)r+\alpha^2+2\alpha+2}{(m+\beta)^2} \right) \\
& - 4x^3 \left( \frac{m}{m+\beta} \theta(x) + \frac{r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} \right) + x^4.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** By using the linearity of the  $S_{m,r}^\theta$  operators and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^0; x) &= S_{m,r}^\theta(e_0; x), \\
S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) &= S_{m,r}^\theta(e_1; x) - xS_{m,r}^\theta(e_0; x), \\
S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) &= S_{m,r}^\theta(e_2; x) - 2xS_{m,r}^\theta(e_1; x) + x^2S_{m,r}^\theta(e_0; x), \\
S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x) &= S_{m,r}^\theta(e_4; x) - 4xS_{m,r}^\theta(e_3; x) + 6x^2S_{m,r}^\theta(e_2; x) - 4x^3S_{m,r}^\theta(e_1; x) + x^4S_{m,r}^\theta(e_0; x).
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 4.** Taking into consideration the definition of  $\theta(x)$ , we get the following limit results for each  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $m + \beta > 2a$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} mS_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) = -2ax \quad (5)$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} mS_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) = 2x. \quad (6)$$

### 3. RESULTS

Let the subspace of all continuous and real-valued functions on the interval  $[0, \infty)$  is denoted by  $C^*[0, \infty)$  with the condition that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$  exists and also is finite, equipped with the uniform norm. In 1970, Boyanov and Veselinov [10] demonstrated the uniform convergence of a sequence of linear positive operators. For the new constructed operators (2) with  $\theta(x)$  as shown in (3), we present the next theorem according to [10].

**Theorem 5.** If the Stancu type Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer operators (2) satisfy

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,r}^\theta(e^{-kt}; x) = e^{-kx}, k = 0, 1, 2. \quad (7)$$

uniformly in  $[0, \infty)$ , then for each  $f \in C^*[0, \infty)$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) = f(x) \quad (8)$$

uniformly in  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** As is already known that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,r}^\theta(1; x) = 1$ . Taking into consideration the equality (4) with  $\theta(x)$  given in (3), we write

$$S_{m,r}^\theta(e^{-t}, x) = e^{-x} + \frac{(1+2a)xe^{-x}}{m} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-2}) \quad (9)$$

and

$$S_{m,r}^\theta(e^{-2t}, x) = e^{-2x} + \frac{4(1+a)xe^{-2x}}{m} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-2}). \quad (10)$$

Thus, we prove that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,r}^{\theta}(e^{-kt}, x) = e^{-kx}, k = 0, 1, 2.$$

uniformly in the interval  $[0, \infty)$ . This proof guarantees that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{m,r}^{\theta}(f; x) = f(x)$  uniformly in the interval  $[0, \infty)$  for any  $f \in C^*[0, \infty)$ .

After Boyanov and Veselinov [10], in 2010 Holhoş, [11] examined the uniform convergence of a sequence of linear positive operators. For a beneficial estimation of the positive and linear operators, the following theorem is presented.

**Theorem 6.** [11] For a sequence of positive and linear operators  $A_m: C^*[0, \infty) \rightarrow C^*[0, \infty)$ , we get

$$\|A_m(f; x) - f(x)\|_{[0, \infty)} \leq \|f\|_{[0, \infty)} \delta_m + (2 + \delta_m) \omega^*(f, \sqrt{\delta_m + 2\sigma_m + \rho_m})$$

for each function  $f \in C^*[0, \infty)$ , where

$$\|A_m(e_0, x) - 1\|_{[0, \infty)} = \delta_m,$$

$$\|A_m(e^{-t}, x) - e^{-x}\|_{[0, \infty)} = \sigma_m,$$

$$\|A_m(e^{-2t}, x) - e^{-2x}\|_{[0, \infty)} = \rho_m$$

and the modulus of continuity is denoted by  $\omega^*(f, \eta) = \sup_{\substack{|e^{-x} - e^{-t}| \leq \eta \\ x, t > 0}} |f(t) - f(x)|$ . In these equalities,

$\delta_m, \sigma_m$  and  $\rho_m$  tend to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Accordingly, we provide a quantitative estimation of the Szasz-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer-Stancu operators reproducing  $e^{2ax}$  for a  $a > 0$  as can be seen:

**Theorem 7.** For  $f \in C^*[0, \infty)$ , we get the following inequality

$$\|S_{m,r}^{\theta} f - f\|_{[0, \infty)} \leq 2\omega^*(f, \sqrt{2\sigma_m + \rho_m}), \quad (11)$$

where

$$\|S_{m,r}^{\theta}(e^{-t}, x) - e^{-x}\|_{[0, \infty)} = \sigma_m,$$

$$\|S_{m,r}^{\theta}(e^{-2t}, x) - e^{-2x}\|_{[0, \infty)} = \rho_m.$$

In these equalities,  $\sigma_m$  and  $\rho_m$  tend to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . So,  $S_{m,r}^{\theta} f$  converges  $f$  uniformly.

**Proof.** The Szasz-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer-Stancu operators  $S_{m,r}^{\theta}$  preserve constants. So,  $\delta_m = 0$ . One can write as

$$\frac{k-n}{\ln k - \ln n} < \frac{k+n}{2} \quad (12)$$

for  $0 < n < k$ . By choosing  $k = e^{-k_m x}$  and  $n = e^{-x}$ , we get

$$e^{-k_m x} - e^{-x} < \frac{1-k_m}{2} (x e^{-x k_m} + x e^{-x}).$$

Then let us notice that

$$\max_{x>0} xe^{-sx} = \frac{1}{es} \quad (13)$$

for each  $s > 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$e^{-k_m x} - e^{-x} < \frac{1-k_m}{2} \left( \frac{1}{ek_m} + \frac{1}{e} \right) < \frac{1-k_m^2}{2ek_m}.$$

In addition, by simple computations, we acquire

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m,r}^\theta(e^{-t}, x) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{m+\beta+1}\right)^{r+1} e^{-\frac{m\theta(x)}{m+\beta+1} - \frac{\alpha}{m+\beta}} \\ &= e^{\frac{-\alpha}{m+\beta} \left(1 - \frac{m+\beta-2a}{m+\beta+1}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m+\beta+1}\right)^{r+1} \left(1 + \frac{2a}{m+\beta-2a}\right)^{\frac{(r+1)(m+\beta-2a)}{2a(m+\beta+1)}} e^{-\frac{m+\beta-2a}{m+\beta+1}x} \\ &:= K_m e^{-k_m x}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_m &= \|S_{m,r}^\theta(e^{-t}, x) - e^{-x}\|_{[0,\infty)} = \|K_m e^{-k_m x} - e^{-x}\|_{[0,\infty)} \\ &= \|K_m(e^{-k_m x} - e^{-x}) + e^{-x}(K_m - 1)\|_{[0,\infty)} \\ &< K_m \left(\frac{1-k_m^2}{2ek_m}\right) + K_m - 1 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Here  $k_m = \frac{m+\beta-2a}{m+\beta+1}$  and

$$K_m = e^{\frac{-\alpha}{m+\beta} \left(1 - \frac{m+\beta-2a}{m+\beta+1}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m+\beta+1}\right)^{r+1} \left(1 + \frac{2a}{m+\beta-2a}\right)^{\frac{(r+1)(m+\beta-2a)}{2a(m+\beta+1)}}.$$

In the same manner, if we choose  $k = e^{-n_m x}$ ,  $n = e^{-2x}$  in (12) and use (13), we obtain

$$e^{-n_m x} - e^{-2x} < \frac{2-n_m}{2} (xe^{-x n_m} + xe^{-2x}) < \frac{2-n_m}{2} \left(\frac{1}{en_m} + \frac{1}{2e}\right) < \frac{4-n_m^2}{4en_m}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m,r}^\theta(e^{-2t}, x) &= \left(1 - \frac{2}{m+\beta+2}\right)^{r+1} e^{-2\frac{m\theta(x)}{m+\beta+2} - \frac{\alpha}{m+\beta}} \\ &= e^{\frac{-2\alpha}{m+\beta} \left(1 - \frac{m+\beta-2a}{m+\beta+2}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{2}{m+\beta+2}\right)^{r+1} \left(1 + \frac{2a}{m+\beta-2a}\right)^{\frac{(r+1)(m+\beta-2a)}{a(m+\beta+2)}} e^{-\frac{2(m+\beta-2a)}{m+\beta+2}x} \\ &:= M_m e^{-n_m x}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_m &= \|S_{m,r}^\theta(e^{-2t}, x) - e^{-2x}\|_{[0,\infty)} = \|M_m e^{-n_m x} - e^{-2x}\|_{[0,\infty)} \\ &= \|M_m(e^{-n_m x} - e^{-2x}) + e^{-2x}(M_m - 1)\|_{[0,\infty)} \\ &< M_m \left(\frac{4-4n_m^2}{4en_m}\right) + M_m - 1 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Here  $n_m = \frac{2(m+\beta-2a)}{m+\beta+2}$  and

$$M_m = e^{\frac{-2\alpha}{m+\beta} \left(1 - \frac{m+\beta-2a}{m+\beta+2}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{2}{m+\beta+2}\right)^{r+1} \left(1 + \frac{2a}{m+\beta-2a}\right)^{\frac{(r+1)(m+\beta-2a)}{a(m+\beta+2)}}.$$

As a consequence,  $\sigma_m$  and  $\rho_m$  tend to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Section 4 investigates the rate of convergence with the help of the modulus of continuity.

#### 4. THE MODULUS OF CONTINUITY

With the norm  $\|f\|_{C_B} = \sup_{x \geq 0} |f(x)|$ ,  $C_B[0, \infty)$  denotes the class of all uniform continuous and bounded functions  $f$  on  $[0, \infty)$ . For  $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$ ,

$$\omega(f, \delta) := \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \sup_{x, x+h \in [0, \infty)} |f(x+h) - f(x)|$$

presents the modulus of continuity.

$$\omega_2(f, \delta) := \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \sup_{x, x+h, x+2h \in [0, \infty)} |f(x+2h) - 2f(x+h) + f(x)|,$$

defines the second order modulus of continuity of the function  $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$  for  $\delta > 0$ . Peetre's K-functionals are given by

$$K_2(f, \delta) := \inf_{g \in C_B^2[0, \infty)} \{ \|f - g\|_{C_B[0, \infty)} + \delta \|g\|_{C_B^2[0, \infty)} \}.$$

Here,  $C_B^2[0, \infty)$  describes the space of the functions, where  $f, f'$  and  $f''$  belong to  $C_B[0, \infty)$ . The relationship between Peetre's K-functional and second order modulus of continuity is defined by [12],

$$K_2(f, \delta) \leq M \omega_2(f, \sqrt{\delta})$$

for  $M > 0$ .

**Lemma 8.** For  $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$ , we obtain  $|S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x)| \leq \|f\|$ .

**Theorem 9.** For  $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$  and for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , there exists a constant  $M > 0$ , such that

$$|S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x)| \leq M \omega_2(f, \sqrt{\mu_m}) + \omega\left(f, \left| \frac{m\theta(x) + r + \alpha + 1}{m + \beta} - x \right| \right), \quad (14)$$

where

$$\mu_m = \frac{2m^2}{(m+\beta)^2} \theta^2(x) + 2m \left( \frac{2r+2\alpha+3}{(m+\beta)^2} - \frac{2x}{m+\beta} \right) \theta(x) + 2x^2 - \frac{4x(r+\alpha+1)}{m+\beta} + \frac{2r^2 + (4\alpha+5)r + 2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 3}{(m+\beta)^2}. \quad (15)$$

Here,  $\theta(x)$  is as shown in (3).

**Proof.** We define  $\tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta: C_B[0, \infty) \rightarrow C_B[0, \infty)$  auxiliary operators as follows

$$\tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta(g; x) = S_{m,r}^\theta(g; x) + g(x) - g\left(\frac{m\theta(x) + r + \alpha + 1}{m + \beta}\right), \quad (16)$$

where Eqn. (3) gives  $\theta(x)$ . It is important to notice that the operators given by (16) are linear and positive. From the Taylor expansion, we have for  $g \in C_B^2[0, \infty)$

$$g(t) = g(x) + (t-x)g'(x) + \int_x^t (t-u)g''(u)du, \quad x, t \in [0, \infty). \quad (17)$$

When  $\tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta$  operators are applied to the equation (17) and then Lemma 3 is used, we get

$$|\tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta(g; x) - g(x)| = \left| \tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta \left( \int_x^t (t-u)g''(u)du; x \right) \right|.$$

$$|\tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta(g; x) - g(x)| \leq \left| S_{m,r}^\theta \left( \int_x^t (t-u)g''(u)du; x \right) \right| + \left| \int_x^{\frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta}} \left( \frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} - u \right) g''(u)du \right|. \quad (18)$$

Further,

$$\left| S_{m,r}^\theta \left( \int_x^t (t-u)g''(u)du; x \right) \right| \leq S_{m,r}^\theta \left( \int_x^t |t-u||g''(u)|du; x \right) \leq \|g''\| S_{m,r}^\theta(\Phi_x^2; x) \quad (19)$$

and

$$\left| \int_x^{\frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta}} \left( \frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} - u \right) g''(u)du \right| \leq \|g''\| \left( \frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} - x \right)^2. \quad (20)$$

Rewrite (19) and (20) in (18), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta(g; x) - g(x)| &\leq \|g''\| \left( S_{m,r}^\theta(\Phi_x^2; x) + \left( \frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} - x \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \|g''\| \left( \left( \frac{m}{m+\beta} \theta(x) - x \right)^2 - \frac{2x(r+\alpha+1)}{m+\beta} + \frac{m(2r+2\alpha+4)\theta(x)+r^2+(3+2\alpha)r+\alpha^2+2\alpha+2}{(m+\beta)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} - x \right)^2 \right) \\ &:= \|g''\| \mu_m, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where

$$\mu_m = \frac{2m^2}{(m+\beta)^2} \theta^2(x) + 2m \left( \frac{2r+2\alpha+3}{(m+\beta)^2} - \frac{2x}{m+\beta} \right) \theta(x) + 2x^2 - \frac{4x(r+\alpha+1)}{m+\beta} + \frac{2r^2+(4\alpha+5)r+2\alpha^2+4\alpha+3}{(m+\beta)^2}. \quad (22)$$

By using the auxiliary operators (16) and Lemma 8, we get

$$\|\tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta(f; x)\| \leq \|S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x)\| + 2\|f\| \leq 3\|f\|. \quad (23)$$

With the help of (16), (21) and (23), for each  $g \in C_B^2[0, \infty)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x)| &= \left| \tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x) + f \left( \frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} \right) - f(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta(g; x) - \tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta(g; x) + g(x) - g(x) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta(f-g; x) - (f-g)(x) \right| + \left| f \left( \frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} \right) - f(x) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \tilde{S}_{m,r}^\theta(g; x) - g(x) \right| \\ &\leq 4\|f-g\| + \|g''\| \mu_m + \left| f \left( \frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} \right) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq K_2(f, \mu_m) + \omega \left( f, \left| \frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} - x \right| \right) \\ &\leq M\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\mu_m}) + \omega \left( f, \left| \frac{m\theta(x)+r+\alpha+1}{m+\beta} - x \right| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

**Remark 10.** We see that  $\mu_m = \frac{2x}{m} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-2}) \rightarrow 0$ , when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This result guarantees the convergence of the Theorem 9.

Section 5 investigates the rate of convergence with the help of exponential modulus of continuity.

## 5. THE EXPONENTIAL MODULUS OF CONTINUITY

The exponential growth of order  $B > 0$  is given by

$$\|f\|_B := \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} |f(x)e^{-Bx}| < \infty \quad (25)$$

for  $f \in C[0, \infty)$ . Also,

$$\omega_1(f, \delta, B) = \sup_{\substack{x \in [0, \infty) \\ h \leq \delta}} |f(x) - f(x+h)|e^{-Bx} \quad (26)$$

gives the first order modulus of continuity of functions  $f$  with the exponential growth. Let  $K$  be a subspace of continuous functions space on  $[0, \infty)$ , which includes functions  $f$  with exponential growth with  $\|f\|_B < \infty$ .

Assume that the function  $f$  belong to Lipschitz class. So, for every  $\delta < 1$  and  $0 < c \leq 1$

$$\omega_1(f, \delta, B) \leq M\delta^c. \quad (27)$$

**Theorem 11.** Let  $S_{m,r}^\theta: K \rightarrow C[0, \infty)$  be the sequence of positive and linear operators reproducing  $e^{2ax}$  for  $a > 0$ . It is assumed that  $S_{m,r}^\theta$  give

$$S_{m,r}^\theta((t-x)^2 e^{Bt}; x) \leq C_a(B, x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x), \quad (28)$$

for  $0 < B < x < \frac{m}{B^2}$ . Additionally, if  $f \in C^2[0, \infty) \cap K$ ,  $0 < c \leq 1$  and  $f'' \in \text{Lip}(c, B)$ , then for  $0 < B < x < \frac{m}{B^2}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x) - f'(x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) - \frac{1}{2} f''(x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \right| \\ & \leq S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \left( \frac{\sqrt{C_a(2B, x)}}{2} + \frac{C_a(B, x)}{2} + e^{2Bx} \right) \omega_1 \left( f'', \sqrt{\frac{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)}{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x)}}, B \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_a(B, x) = Me^{Bx+1}$ .

**Proof.** By considering Taylor expansion of the function  $f \in C^2[0, \infty)$  at  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , we obtain

$$f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t-x) + f''(x) \frac{(t-x)^2}{2!} + H_2(f; t, x). \quad (29)$$

Here the remainder term is  $H_2(f; t, x) = \frac{(t-x)^2}{2} (f''(\eta) - f''(x))$ , and  $\eta$  is between  $t$  and  $x$ . Applying the operators  $S_{m,r}^\theta$  to the equality (29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x) - f'(x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) - \frac{1}{2} f''(x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \right| &= \left| S_{m,r}^\theta(H_2(f; t, x); x) \right| \\ &\leq S_{m,r}^\theta(|H_2(f; t, x)|; x). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Additionally,

$$H_2(f; t, x) = \frac{(t-x)^2}{2} (f''(\eta) - f''(x)) \leq \frac{(t-x)^2}{2} \begin{cases} e^{Bx} \omega_1(f'', h, B), & |t-x| \leq h \\ e^{Bx} \omega_1(f'', kh, B), & h \leq |t-x| \leq kh \end{cases}$$

It was proved by Tachev et al. [13] that

$$\omega_1(f, kh, B) \leq ke^{B(k-1)h} \omega_1(f, h, B) \quad (31)$$

for each  $h > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . With the help of the inequality (31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(t-x)^2 e^{Bx}}{2} \omega_1(f'', kh, B) &\leq \frac{(t-x)^2 e^{Bx}}{2} ke^{B(k-1)h} \omega_1(f'', h, B) \\ &\leq \frac{(t-x)^2}{2} \left( \frac{|t-x|}{h} + 1 \right) e^{Bx} e^{B|t-x|} \omega_1(f'', h, B) \\ &\leq \frac{(t-x)^2}{2} \left( \frac{|t-x|}{h} + 1 \right) (e^{Bt} + e^{2Bx}) \omega_1(f'', h, B). \end{aligned}$$

Thusly,

$$|H_2(f; t, x)| \leq \frac{(t-x)^2}{2} \left( \frac{|t-x|}{h} + 1 \right) (e^{Bt} + e^{2Bx}) \omega_1(f'', h, B). \quad (32)$$

Applying the operators  $S_{m,r}^\theta$  to the inequality (32), we write

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m,r}^\theta(|H_2(f; t, x)|; x) &\leq \frac{1}{2} S_{m,r}^\theta \left( \left( \frac{|t-x|^3}{h} + |t-x|^2 \right) (e^{Bt} + e^{2Bx}); x \right) \omega_1(f'', h, B) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2h} S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^3 e^{Bt}; x) + \frac{1}{2} S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^2 e^{Bt}; x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{e^{2Bx}}{2h} S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^3; x) + \frac{e^{2Bx}}{2} S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^2; x) \right) \omega_1(f'', h, B). \end{aligned}$$

With some calculations we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^2 e^{Bt}; x) &= S_{m,r}^\theta(t^2 e^{Bt}; x) - 2x S_{m,r}^\theta(te^{Bt}; x) + x^2 S_{m,r}^\theta(e^{Bt}; x) \\ &= e^{B \left( \frac{\alpha}{m+\beta} + \frac{m\theta(x)}{m+\beta-B} \right)} \left\{ \frac{m^2(m+\beta)^{r+3}}{(m+\beta-B)^{r+5}} \theta^2(x) \right. \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{(2r+4)m(m+\beta)^{r+2}}{(m+\beta-B)^{r+4}} + \frac{2\alpha m(m+\beta)^{r+1}}{(m+\beta-B)^{r+3}} - \frac{2xm(m+\beta)^{r+2}}{(m+\beta-B)^{r+3}} \right) \theta(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{(r^2+3r+2)(m+\beta)^{r+1}}{(m+\beta-B)^{r+3}} + \frac{2\alpha(r+1)(m+\beta)^r}{(m+\beta-B)^{r+2}} + \frac{\alpha^2(m+\beta)^{r-1}}{(m+\beta-B)^{r+1}} \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2x(r+1)(m+\beta)^{r+1}}{(m+\beta-B)^{r+2}} - \frac{2x\alpha(m+\beta)^r}{(m+\beta-B)^{r+1}} + \frac{x^2(m+\beta)^{r+1}}{(m+\beta-B)^{r+1}} \right\} \\ &= e^{Bx} \left( 1 + \frac{3B(1-2ax+Bx)}{m} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{B(-1-6\beta x+9Bx-6\beta Bx^2+20B^2x^2+16a^3x^3+5B^3x^3+r(-1+6ax-3Bx))}{2xm^2} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{B(12a^2x^2(-1+Bx)-6Bx\alpha+2ax(3+3\beta x-18Bx-10B^2x^2+6\alpha))}{2xm^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(m^{-3}) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x). \\ S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^2 e^{Bt}; x) &= e^{Bx} \left\{ 1 + \frac{B^2x}{m} \left( \frac{3}{Bx} - \frac{6a}{B} + 3 \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2!} \left( \frac{B^2x}{m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{-1-r}{B^3x^3} + \frac{-6\beta+9B+6ar-3Br-6B\alpha+6a+12a\alpha}{B^3x^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{-6\beta B+20B^2-12a^2+12a\beta-36aB}{B^3x} + \frac{16a^3+5B^3+12a^2B-20aB^2}{B^3} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3!} \left( \frac{B^2x}{m} \right)^3 \left( \frac{-(3/2+3/2r^2+3\alpha+3r+3\alpha r+6a)}{B^5x^5} + \frac{6\beta-9B-9Br+6\beta r-6ar}{B^5x^4} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{18\beta^2-54\beta B+20B^2+36\beta B\alpha-60B^2\alpha-40B^2r+36a^2r+18\beta Br-36a\beta r+66arB}{B^5x^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{36a^2(1+\alpha)-36a\beta-72a\alpha\beta+66aB+108aB\alpha}{B^5x^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{18\beta^2B-120\beta B+120B^3-30B^3\alpha-48a^3r-15B^3r-36a^2rB+60aB^2r+72a^2\beta}{B^5x^2} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{-108a^2B-72a^3B\alpha-36a\beta^2-180aB^2+120aB^2\alpha+216a\beta B-8(15+12\alpha)}{B^5x^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{-30\beta B^3 + 63\beta^4 + 168a^4 + 120a^2 B^2 - 72a^2 \beta B - 240aB^3 + 120a\beta B - 96a^3 \beta + 144a^3 \beta}{B^5 x} \\
& + \frac{7B^5 - 96a^5 - 48a^4 B + 60a^2 B^3 + 40a^3 B^2 - 42aB^4}{B^5} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-4}) \Big\} S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \\
S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^2 e^{Bt}; x) &= e^{Bx} \left\{ 1M_0 + \frac{B^2 x}{m} M_1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{B^2 x}{m}\right)^2 M_2 + \frac{1}{3!} \left(\frac{B^2 x}{m}\right)^3 M_3 + \mathcal{O}(m^{-4}) \right\} S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \\
S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^2 e^{Bt}; x) &= e^{Bx} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{B^2 x}{m}\right)^k M_k \right\} S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us choose  $M_0 = 1$  and  $M = \max\{M_0, M_1, M_2, \dots\}$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^2 e^{Bt}; x) &\leq e^{Bx} M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{B^2 x}{m}\right)^k S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \\
&= M e^{Bx} e^{\frac{B^2 x}{m}} S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < B < x < \frac{m}{B^2}$ ,

$$S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^2 e^{Bt}; x) \leq C_a(B, x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x), \quad (33)$$

where  $C_a(B, x) = M e^{Bx+1}$ . By employing Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain the next inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^3 e^{Bt}; x) &\leq \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^2 e^{2Bt}; x)} \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^4; x)} \\
&\leq \sqrt{C_a(2B, x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x)} \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)}.
\end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^3; x) &\leq \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^4; x)} \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(|t-x|^2; x)} \\
&\leq \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)} \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x)}.
\end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Thus, by using the inequalities (33), (34) and (35) in (30), we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x) - f'(x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) - \frac{1}{2} f''(x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \right| \\
& \leq \left( \frac{1}{2h} \sqrt{C_a(2B, x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x)} \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)} + \frac{1}{2} C_a(B, x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{e^{2Bx}}{2h} \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)} \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x)} + \frac{e^{2Bx}}{2} S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \right) \omega_1(f'', h, B).
\end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Lastly, when  $h = \sqrt{\frac{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)}{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x)}}$  is chosen and substituted in (36), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x) - f'(x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) - \frac{1}{2} f''(x) S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \right| \\
& \leq S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \left( \frac{\sqrt{C_a(2B, x)}}{2} + \frac{C_a(B, x)}{2} + e^{2Bx} \right) \omega_1 \left( f'', \sqrt{\frac{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)}{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x)}}, B \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It must be noticed that for fixed  $x \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\frac{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)}{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x)} = \frac{6x}{m} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-2}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , guarantees the convergence of Theorem 11.

In section 6, in order to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the constructed operators (2), the Voronovskaya-type theorem is given.

## 6. VORONOVSKAYA-TYPE THEOREM

**Theorem 12.** For  $f, f', f'' \in C^*[0, \infty)$  and  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , we get

$$|m(S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x)) + 2axf'(x) - xf''(x)| \leq |r_m(x)||f'(x)| + |t_m(x)||f''(x)| \\ + 2(2t_m(x) + 2x + z_m(x))\omega^*(f'', m^{-1/2}),$$

where

$$r_m(x) = mS_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) + 2ax,$$

$$t_m(x) = \frac{m}{2}S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) - x,$$

$$z_m(x) = m^2 \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta((e^{-x} - e^{-t})^4; x)} \sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)}.$$

**Proof.** By considering the Taylor expansion, we get

$$f(t) = f(x) + (t-x)f'(x) + \frac{(t-x)^2}{2}f''(x) + k(t,x)(t-x)^2. \quad (37)$$

Here, the remainder term  $k(t, x)$  can be written as

$$k(t, x) := \frac{1}{2}(f''(\xi) - f''(x)).$$

Also, the remainder term is  $k(t, x)$  and  $\xi$  is a number between  $x$  and  $t$ . When we apply the  $S_{m,r}^\theta$  operators to (37), we have

$$S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x) = f'(x)S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x)S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) + S_{m,r}^\theta(k(t, x)\phi_x^2; x).$$

Then

$$|m[S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x)] + 2axf'(x) - xf''(x)| \leq |mS_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) + 2ax||f'(x)| \\ + \frac{1}{2}|mS_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) - 2x||f''(x)| + |mS_{m,r}^\theta(k(t, x)\phi_x^2; x)|.$$

It is briefly symbolized that  $r_m(x) := mS_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^1; x) + 2ax$  and  $t_m(x) := \frac{m}{2}S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) - x$ . Thus,

$$|m[S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x)] + 2axf'(x) - xf''(x)| \leq |r_m(x)||f'(x)| + |t_m(x)||f''(x)| \\ + |mS_{m,r}^\theta(k(t, x)\phi_x^2; x)|.$$

Note that from (5) and (6), we see that  $r_m(x)$  and  $t_m(x)$  go to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, we study the term  $|mS_{m,r}^\theta(k(t, x)\phi_x^2; x)|$ .

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{(e^{-x} - e^{-t})^2}{\eta^2}\right) \omega^*(f, \eta).$$

By employing this inequality, we get

$$|k(t, x)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{(e^{-x} - e^{-t})^2}{\eta^2}\right) \omega^*(f'', \eta).$$

For  $\eta > 0$ , if  $|e^{-x} - e^{-t}| \leq \eta$ , then  $|k(t, x)| \leq 2\omega^*(f'', \eta)$  and if  $|e^{-x} - e^{-t}| > \eta$ , then  $|k(t, x)| \leq \frac{2(e^{-x} - e^{-t})^2}{\eta^2} \omega^*(f'', \eta)$ . Thusly, we have  $|k(t, x)| \leq 2 \left( \frac{(e^{-x} - e^{-t})^2}{\eta^2} + 1 \right) \omega^*(f'', \eta)$ .

Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} |mS_{m,r}^\theta(k(t, x)\phi_x^2; x)| &\leq mS_{m,r}^\theta(|k(t, x)|\phi_x^2; x) \\ &\leq 2m\omega^*(f'', \eta)S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) + \frac{2m}{\eta^2}\omega^*(f'', \eta)S_{m,r}^\theta((e^{-x} - e^{-t})^2\phi_x^2; x) \\ &\leq 2m\omega^*(f'', \eta)S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^2; x) \\ &\quad + \frac{2m}{\eta^2}\omega^*(f'', \eta)\sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta((e^{-x} - e^{-t})^4; x)}\sqrt{S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $\eta = 1/\sqrt{m}$  and  $z_m := \sqrt{m^2 S_{m,r}^\theta((e^{-x} - e^{-t})^4; x)} \sqrt{m^2 S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x)}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |m(S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x)) + 2axf'(x) - xf''(x)| &\leq |r_m(x)||f'(x)| + |t_m(x)||f''(x)| \\ &\quad + (4t_m(x) + 4x + 2z_m(x))\omega^*(f'', m^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 13.** After some calculations the following limit result is obtained:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^2 S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x) = 12x^2. \quad (38)$$

In addition, we get the result as follows:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^2 S_{m,r}^\theta((e^{-t} - e^{-x})^4; x) = 12x^2 e^{-4x}. \quad (39)$$

**Proof.** We have after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x) &= 12x^2 + \frac{12x(1-r-8ax-2\beta x+4a^2x^2-2\alpha)}{m} \\ &\quad + \frac{3r^2 - 96a^3x^3 + 16a^4x^4 - 4r(3 - 26ax - 9\beta x + 18a^2x^2 - 3\alpha)}{m^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{-72a^2x^2(-1 + 2\beta x + 2\alpha)}{m^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{8ax(7+36\beta x+24\alpha)+3(-5+12\beta^2x^2-4\alpha+4\alpha^2+12\beta x(-1+2\alpha))}{m^2} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^2 S_{m,r}^\theta(\phi_x^4; x) = 12x^2.$$

In the same manner, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 S_{m,r}^\theta((e^{-t} - e^{-x})^4; x) &= 12x^2 e^{-4x} + \frac{4xe^{-4x}(3r^2 - 6(5+2a+\beta)x + (65+60a+12a^2)x^2)}{m} \\ &\quad + \frac{4xe^{-4x}(3r(-3+2(5+2a)x) - 6(1+\alpha))}{m} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^2 S_{m,r}^\theta((e^{-t} - e^{-x})^4; x) = 12x^2 e^{-4x}.$$

The next corollary is given as a consequence of Theorem 12 and Remark 13 as follows:

**Corollary 14.** Assume that  $x \in [0, \infty)$  and  $f, f'' \in C^*[0, \infty)$ . Thus,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m(S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x)) = -2axf'(x) + xf''(x) \quad (40)$$

holds.

Now, we investigate that our new constructed Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer-Stancu operators which reproduce  $e^{2ax}$  for a  $> 0$  approximate better than Szász-Mirakyan operators preserving  $e^{2ax}$  which is taken into consideration by Acar et al. [2].

**Theorem 15.** Let  $f \in C^2[0, \infty)$  be an increasing and convex function. Assume that for all  $m \geq m_0$ ,  $x \in [0, \infty)$  there is a number  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$f(x) \leq S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) \leq R_m^*(f; x). \quad (41)$$

Then

$$xf''(x) \geq 2axf'(x) \geq 0. \quad (42)$$

Contrarily, if inequality (42) holds with strict inequalities at  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , then there is a number  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $m \geq m_0$

$$f(x) < S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) < R_m^*(f; x). \quad (43)$$

**Proof.** From the inequality (41) we have for all  $m \geq m_0$  and  $x \in [0, \infty)$  that

$$0 \leq m(S_{m,r}^\theta(f; x) - f(x)) \leq m(R_m^*(f; x) - f(x)). \quad (44)$$

By using the Voronovskaya-type theorem for Szász-Mirakyan operators preserving  $e^{2ax}$ ,  $a > 0$  which is obtained by Acar et al. [2], we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m(R_m^*(f; x) - f(x)) = -axf'(x) + \frac{x}{2}f''(x). \quad (45)$$

After that, by taking the limit of the inequality (44) as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and using Equation (40) and Equation (45), we get

$$0 \leq -2axf'(x) + xf''(x) \leq -axf'(x) + \frac{x}{2}f''(x). \quad (46)$$

Thus, we directly achieve inequality (42). Contrarily, if inequality (42) holds with strict at  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , then

$$0 < -2axf'(x) + xf''(x) < -axf'(x) + \frac{x}{2}f''(x). \quad (47)$$

Finally, by using Equation (40) and Equation (45) we obtain the desired result.

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Mazhar, S.M., Totik, V., “Approximation by modified Szász operators”, *Acta Sci. Math.*, 49: 257-269, (1985).
- [2] Acar, T., Aral, A., Gonska, H., “On Szász-Mirakyan operators preserving  $e^{2ax}$ ,  $a > 0$ ”, *Mediterranean J. Math.*, 14(6): 1-14, (2017).
- [3] Deniz, E., Aral, A., Gupta, V., “Note on Szász-Mirakyan-Durrmeyer operators preserving  $e^{2ax}$ ,  $a > 0$ ”, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 39(2): 201-207, (2018).
- [4] Gupta, V., Deo, N., Zeng, X., “Simultaneous Approximation for Szász-Mirakian-Stancu-Durrmeyer Operators”, *Analysis in Theory and Applications*, 29(1): 86-96, (2013).
- [5] Acar, T., Aral, A., Cárdenas-Morales, D., Garrancho, P., “Szász-Mirakyan Type Operators Which Fix Exponentials”, *Results Math.*, 72: 1393-1404, (2017).
- [6] Aral, A., Inoan, D., Raşa, I., “Approximation properties of Szász-Mirakyan operators preserving exponential functions”, *Positivity*, 23: 233-246, (2019).
- [7] Bodur, M., Gürel Yılmaz, Ö., Aral, A., “Approximation by Baskakov-Szász-Stancu Operators Preserving Exponential Functions”, *Constructive Mathematical Analysis*, 1(1): 1-8, (2018).
- [8] Gupta, V., Acu, A.M., “On Baskakov-Szász-Mirakyan-type operators preserving exponential type functions”, *Positivity*, 22: 919-929, (2018).
- [9] Gupta, V., Aral, A., “A note on Szász-Mirakyan-Kantorovich type operators preserving  $e^{-x}$ ”, *Positivity*, 22: 415-423, (2018).
- [10] Boyanov, B.D. and Veselinov, V.M., “A note on the approximation of functions in an infinite interval by linear positive operators”, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum.*, 14(62): 9-13, (1970).
- [11] Holhoş, A., “The rate of approximation of functions in an infinite interval by positive linear operators”, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, 2: 133-142, (2010).
- [12] DeVore, R.A., Lorentz, G.G., “Constructive Approximation”, Springer, Berlin, 177, (1993).
- [13] Tachev, G., Gupta, V., Aral, A., “Voronovskaja’s theorem for functions with exponential growth”, *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, (2018). doi:10.1515/gmj-2018-0041