Experimental and Applied Medical Science, 4, 1: 431-441, 2023. DOI: 10.46871/eams.1175074

# Implicit Motor Imagery Performance in Childhood Recurrent Headaches

Demet GOZACAN KARABULUT<sup>1</sup>, Mehmet Ibrahim TURAN<sup>2</sup>,

1 Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation Faculty of Health Sciences, Gaziantep Islam Science and Technology University, Gaziantep, Turkey

2 Department of Pediatric Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey

#### Abstract

This study aims to investigate the implicit motor imagery capacities in children with recurrent headaches. 47 children with recurring headaches and 33 children of a comparable typically developing peers age group were included in the study. The dominant hand, headache localization, intensity, and symptoms accompanying the headache were all determined, in addition to implicit motor imagery abilities and the demographic features of the children participating in the study. These results were compared by measuring the patients' and control groups' right and left lateralization accuracy percentages and response times. According to the study's findings, the group with recurrent headaches had the worse right and left discrimination accuracy percentages and decision-making times, notably in implicit motor imagery performances, than the control group. As a result, whereas children with recurrent headaches have high implicit motor imagery decision-making rates linked to proprioception, they may have impaired accurate decision-making capabilities.

Key Words: Children; Headache; Implicit motor imagery; Left/right judgements

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Demet GOZACAN KARABULUT. E-mail: dg.karabulut@gmail.com. ORCID ID: 0000-0001-9235-1059.

## Introduction

Headache is one of the most common complaints of children. The prevalence of headache complain in children has grown dramatically in recent years (1).Frequency of headaches in children vary with age (3 - 51.5%), and the prevalence rises with age (2,3). The complaint of headache is frequently accompanied by symptoms such other as nausea. vomiting, vision abnormalities, and sore throat (4). While migraine was reported as 4% and non-migrainous headache rate 24% in elementary school-aged as children, this rate was determined as 16% formigraine and 60% for non-migrainous headaches in adolescence (5). It is more frequent in boys at a young age, but it is more common in girls as they become older (6). Children with recurring headaches are less likely to participate in social activities, are more absent from school, and have inferior academic achievement than children who do not have recurrent headaches (7,8).

The chronic pain in children occurs more frequently than expected (9). Approximately 5% to 8% of childrens with chronic pain have a considerable pain-related disability (10). One of the most common causes of chronic pain in children is headaches (11). Patients with chronic pain have been observed to exhibit variety of peripheral а characteristics (cortical disinhibition, the change of motor cortex excitability). These peripheral changes include changes in proprioceptive senses (the sense of where your body is located in space) (12). This peripheral changes are deterioration in proprioception, decrease in tactile acuity, and lateralization decision-making ability (13). Impaired awareness of one's own body form and organs can be caused by a lack of proprioception and motor imagery (lateralization) (14). Motor imagery is also known as the mental simulation of movement without exhibiting any genuine motor activity (15). The capacity engage with projection to and manipulation of the body diagram from a first-person perspective is known as implicit motor imagery (16). In implicit motor imagery, movement imagery is done subconsciously (17). Implicit motor some functional indicates imagery equivalence between observed, imagined, and actual movement (18). When aperson sees a photograph of a hand in an unusual posture, he/she automatically visualizes the hand motions and enters the motor imagery pattern to determine which side the hand belongs to (19).

No study has been found that specifically examines the motor imagery

performances of children with headache problems. For these reasons, the current study was planned to investigate the implicit motor imagery performance of children with recurrent headaches and compare them with their typically developing peers.

## Materials and Methods Participants

This descriptive study included 33 children with typically developing and 47 children with recurring headaches (headache that recurs at least 3 times and aged between 8 and 16). The study was approved by Gaziantep Islam Science and Technology University, the noninterventional clinical research ethics committee (Protocol ID: 2021.47-47). Written informed consent was obtained from all parents of children who participated in the study. All participants provided informed consent, as per the Declaration of Helsinki. Parents and children were inquired about headache complaints and socio-demographic characteristics and the answers were recorded. The study was completed between December 2021 - April 2022. Participants with headache that recurs at least 3 times and volunteering to participate in the study were chosen for

the study (Children with headache diagnosed by a pediatric neurologist who is an expert in the field). Patients who had an organic reason for their headache etiology, any other chronic diseases and with complex medical problems other than headache were excluded from the study. The typically developing control is generated with similar group demographic features who don't have any sign or symptom of active infection and chronic disease and also who don't have headache. The children with typically developing were chosen from among the children of the colleagues of the researchers who carried out the study and the children of the close friends of the employees who volunteered to participate in the study.

## Assessment of headache severity

Patients were given a visual analog scale (VAS) to score their headaches (0=no pain, 10=unbearable pain). Pain severity was graded as follows: 1-2 was considered mild, 3-4 was considered moderate, 5-6 was considered severe, 7-8 was considered very severe, and 9-10 was considered unbearable (20).

## Left/right judgement tasks

Implicit motor imagery capacity was evaluated as a laterality task, that is, choosing which side the hand belonged

443

to. In this regard, right-left discrimination was tested using the Recognise App Recognise Hand software developed and designed by the NOI group (Neuro Orthopaedic Institute, Adelaide, Australia) (http://www.noigroup.com/ Recognize).

Regarding the identification of the hand's laterality and implicit imagery capacity, two points were evaluated. The first point is decision discrimination accuracy (the percentage of accurate responses), which is the capacity to recognize whether a part of the body belongs to the right

or the left, and the second is the response time of the participants when performing the discrimination task. The participants were asked to judge whether the hand images of the right and left hands from various angles presented on the phone screen belonged to the right or left hand while sitting comfortably. See Fig. 1 for sample images. Each person was shown a total of 20 photos at 5-second intervals. Children were instructed to push the right-side button with their right index finger if they considered the image to belong to the right side and the left side button with their left index finger for the left side. The application computed and logged response times and accuracy percentages. Data from pictures having a reaction time of less than 500 ms were

excluded from the study because they were deemed too short to provide the right response (21). Furthermore, if the participant's response time exceeded 5 seconds in eight consecutive images and he or she was unable to answer, the images were deemed failed and were not evaluated.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

In descriptive statistics, numerical variables were given mean and standard error mean. whereas variables categorical were given number and percentage values. The Shapiro Wilks test was used to evaluate the normality assumption. The independent sample t-test was performed to see if there was a difference between the two groups. The paired difference test was used to discover which group or groups created the difference when there were differences between the groups. The Spearman correlation coefficient was used to see if there was a difference between numeric variables. Statistical significance level was taken as p<0.05 IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 19.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) was used for statistical analysis.

## Results

In the study, there were 28 boys (59.6 %) and 19 girls (40.4 %) in the headache group. The median age of the headache group was  $11.4 \pm 0.37$  years. Forty (85.1 %) of these children were using righthanded dominant and 7 (14.9 %) of them were left-handed dominant (Table 1). Headache duration lasted less than an hour in 22 (46.8 %) of the children, 1-6 hours in 20 (41.6 %) of the children, and 6-24 hours in 5 (10.4 %) of the children. Headache wasaccompanied by nausea-vomiting in 8 (17 %) patients, dizziness in 4 (8.4 %) patients, loss of appetite in 2 (4.2 %) patients, and visual impairment in 2 (4.2 %) patients. There were no

accompanying findings in 31 (65.9 %) patients. The pain intensity was determined as 3-4 (mild) in 24 (51 %) patients, 5-6 (severe) in 4 (8.4 %) patients, and 9-10 (unbearable) in 19 (40.4 %) patients. The pain location was found in the lateral area in 24 (51 %) response times and accuracy percentages according to the dominant hand are shown in Table 2. The increase in right patients, in the occipital area in 13 (27.6 %) patients, and in the front area and diffuse in 5 (10.6 %) patients. There were 19 boys (57.6 %) and 14 girls (42.4 %) in the control group. The median age of this group was  $11.3 \pm 0.4$  years. In this group, 29 children (87.9 %) were righthanded and 4of them (12.1 %) were lefthanded. In terms of laterality task motor imagery right-left discrimination respond times, a significant difference was determined between the groups (p < 0.05). A statistically significant difference was found between the right-left accuracy percentages between the groups (p < 0.05). Comparison of laterality task evaluations between groups are shown in Figures 2 and 3. No statistically significant connection was found in the headache group in terms of accompanying findings and right-left lateralization findings (p>0.05). The effect of headache duration and severity on lateralization findings was not statistically significant (p>0.05). Right-left

and left accuracy percentages showed a statistically significant correlation with age in both groups.

**Table 1.** The physical and sociodemographic characteristics

	Headache Group	Control Group
Male	28 boys (59.6 %)	19 boys (57.6 %)
Years	$11.8 \pm 0.27$ years	$11.1 \pm 0.6$ years
Heights	$137 \pm 4.1 \text{ cm}$	$141 \pm 6.5 \text{ cm}$
Female	19 girls (40.4 %)	14 girls (42.4 %)
Years	$10.9 \pm 0.63$ years	$11.7 \pm 0.27$ years
Heights	$143 \pm 8.7 \text{ cm}$	$145 \pm 4.21 \text{ cm}$
Right handed	40 (85.1 %)	29 (87.9 %)
Left handed	7 (14.9 %)	4 (12.1 %)

		Right	Right Respond	Left Accuracy	Left Respond
		Accuracy	Time	Percent (%)	Time
		Percent (%)	(s)		(s)
	Right-Handed				
	(n=40)	$46.75 \pm 2.3$	$1.88 \pm 0.03$	$44 \pm 1.7$	$2.02\pm0.07$
Headache					
Group	Left-Handed				
	(n=7)	$35.7\pm2.97~^\beta$	$1.9\pm0.07$	$51.4\pm4$ $^{\beta}$	$1.62\pm0.11^{~\beta}$
	Right-Handed				
	(n=29)	$67.5 \pm 1.96$	$2.56\pm0.09$	$67\pm1.91$	$2.63 \pm 0.15$
Control					
Group	Left-Handed				
	(n=4)	$65 \pm 2.88$	$2.5 \pm 0.35$	$75.3\pm0.5$ $^{lpha}$	$2.25\pm0.3$ $^{lpha}$
	( )				

**Table 2.** Comparison of right-left respond times and accuracy rates according to handedness

 between groups

n=number of patients, Data are reported as means  $\pm$  SEM

 $\alpha$ : Comparison of left-handed control group and right-handed control group p < 0.05

 $\beta: Comparison \ of \ left-handed \ headache \ group \ and \ right-handed \ control \ group \ p < 0.05.$ 



Fig. 1. Sample images used in the left/right judgement tasks





\*P < 0.05

Data are reported as means  $\pm$  SEM



Fig. 3. Comparison of response times between groups

\*P < 0.05

Data are reported as means  $\pm$  SEM

## Discussion

We assumed in this study that children with recurrent headaches might have impaired laterality task decisionmaking times and lower implicit imagery capacity. The data we obtained partially supported our hypothesis. Today. a significant portion of the rate of admission to the emergency department is children with headache complaints, and this rate is increasing. Headache can cause significant disruptions in the daily routine and social life of children (22). Other than organic causes such as migraine, intracranial mass. hypertension, visual impairments, and intracranial infections, tension-type headaches caused by psychosocial stress constitute the majority of causes (23,24). Recurrent headaches affect the way of thinking of children and may cause decreases in academic success (22,25). In this study, when the decision-making times for laterality tasks were examined, the accuracy level of the answers given was found to be significantly lower than in the control group, despite the fact that the decision-making times were relatively fast. When the existing literature was examined, it was discovered that in patients with diseases affecting motor

functions such as cerebral palsy, implicit imagery capacities were significantly reduced on the affected side (16). In fact, it has been reported that there is a significant increase in this capacity with motor imagery training in these patients. Furthermore, the imagery capacities of adult patients with chronic pain complaints were examined, and it was discovered that chronic pain have a negative impact on their implicit imagery capacity (26). Also, some studies have reported that localization of pain is ineffective in reducing imagery capacity (26). Since no correlation was found between headache localization and accuracy rate in this study, it was assumed that headache localization did not affect the decision-making process, and this data was found to be compatible with the current literature. In this study, it was determined that the accuracy rate of decision-making process in children with recurrent headache independent of headache localization was lower than in the typically developing group. It is thought that more studies are needed to investigate the related affecting motor imagery factors abilities in children with recurrent headache.

Motor imagery ability follows a

449

process that develops with age. For this reason, age can be an important factor in tests related to motor imagery. In this study, motor imagery accuracy percentages increase with age and show us a certain correlation, and offer us data compatible with the literature (27). According to the results of the present study the data obtained from children with recurrent headache complaints suggest that not only the age factor, but also other factors affecting the general condition of the child may be effective in determining the motor imagery ability. Handedness is another effective factor in the evaluation of motor imagery capacity. It has been reported that it gives better results in the evaluation of imagery laterality motor tasks. especially in left-handed children (20). With the data obtained in this study, the results in left- handed patients were determined as significantly better in both groups.

It has been stated that with more severe pain were reported to have more impaired implicit motor capacity than those with less severe pain (28). There was no significant correlation between pain severity and implicit motor capacity according to the data obtained in this study. The likely reason for this is that headaches last for a shorter period in children, although their severity is excruciating.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, children with recurrent headaches were found to have a lower implicit motor capability in our study. Age and handedness are two factors that have an affirmative impact on this. We consider that the motor imagery skills of children with recurrent headaches and the factors affecting their skills should be examined in detail by conducting more comprehensive studies.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no competing interests.

### Acknowledgement

No institution has given financial support to the study. All researchers contributed equally to he study.

#### References

1. Ozge A, Termine C, Antonaci F, et al. Overview of diagnosis and management of paediatricheadache. Part I: diagnosis. J headache Pain. 2011;12:13-23. doi: 10.1007/s10194-011-0297-5

2. Deubner DC. An epidemiologic study of migraine and headache in 10-20 year olds. Headache. 1977;17:173-180. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4610.1977.hed1704173.x

3. Sillanpaa M, Piekkala P, Kero P. Prevalence of headache at preschool age in an unselected child population. Cephalalgia. 1991;11:239-242. doi: 10.1046/j.1468-2982.1991.1105239.x

4. Munday S, Rao A . Always on my mind: Headache in children. Emerg Med Australas. 2016;28:376-378. doi: 10.1111/1742-6723.12627

5. Sillanpaa M, Saarinen MM. Long term outcome of childhood onset headache: A prospective community study. Cephalalgia. 2018;38:1159-1166. doi: 10.1177/0333102417727536

6. Scheller JM. The history, epidemiology, and classification of headaches in childhood. Semin Pediatr Neurol. 1995;2:102-108. doi: 10.1016/s1071-9091(05)80020-8

7. Pogliani L, Spiri D, Penagini F, et al. Headache in children and adolescents aged 6-18 years in northern Italy: prevalence and risk factors. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2011;15:234-240. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2010.11.005

8. Cvengros JA, Harper D, Shevell M. Pediatric headache: an examination of process variablesin treatment. J Child Neurol. 2007;22:1172-1181. doi: 10.1177/0883073807305786

9. Perquin CW, Hazebroek-Kampschreur A, Hunfeld JAM, et al. Pain in children and adolescents: a common experience. Pain. 2000;87:51-58. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3959(00)00269-4

10. Huguet A, Miro J. The severity of chronic pediatric pain: an epidemiological study. J Pain. 2008;9:226-236. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2007.10.015

11. King S, Chambers CT, Huguet A, et al. The epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents revisited: a systematic review. Pain.

2011;152:2729-2738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2011.07.016

12. Parker RS, Lewis GN, Rice DA, McNair PJ. Is Motor Cortical Excitability Altered in People with Chronic Pain? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Brain Stimul. 2016;9:488-500. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2016.03.020

13. Wand BM, Parkitny L, O'Connell NE, et al. Cortical changes in chronic low back pain: current state of the art and implications for clinical practice. Man Ther. 2011;16:15-20. doi: 10.1016/j.math.2010.06.008

14. Lotze M, Moseley GL. Role of distorted body image in pain. Curr Rheumatol Rep. 2007;9:488-496. doi: 10.1007/s11926-007-0079-x

15. Munzert J, Lorey B, Zentgraf K. Cognitive motor processes: the role of motor imagery in the study of motor representations. Brain Res Rev. 2009;60:306-326. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresrev.2008.12.024

16. Jongsma ML, Baas CM, Sangen AF, et al. Children with unilateral cerebral palsy show diminished implicit motor imagery with the affected hand. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2016;58:277-284. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.12819

17. deVries S, Tepper M, Feenstra W, et al. Motor imagery ability in stroke patients: the relationship between implicit and explicit motor imagery measures. Front Hum Neurosci. 2013;7:790. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00790

18. Molina M, Tijus C, Jouen F. The emergence of motor imagery in children. J Exp Child Psychol. 2008;9:196-209. doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2007.10.001

19. McInnes K, Friesen C, Boe S. Specific Brain Lesions Impair Explicit Motor Imagery Ability: A Systematic Review of the Evidence. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2016;97:478-489. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2015.07.012

20. Collins SL, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. The visual analogue pain intensity scale: what is moderate pain in millimetres? Pain. 1997;72:95-97. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3959(97)00005-5

21. Wallwork SB, Butler DS, Fulton I, et al. Left/right neck rotation judgments are affected by age, gender, handedness and image rotation.

Man Ther. 2013;18:225-230. doi: 10.1016/j.math.2012.10.006

22. Antonaci F, Voiticovschi-Iosob C, Di StefanoAL, et al. The evolution of headache from childhood to adulthood: a review of the literature. J Headache Pain. 2014;15:15. doi: 10.1186/1129-2377-15-15

23. Blaauw BA, Dyb G, Hagen K, et al. The relationship of anxiety, depression and behavioral problems with recurrent headache in late adolescence - a Young-HUNT follow-up study. J Headache Pain. 2015;16:10. doi: 10.1186/1129-2377-16-10

24. El-Metwally A, Toivola P, AlAhmary K, et al. The Epidemiology of Migraine Headache in Arab Countries: A Systematic Review. ScientificWorldJournal. 2020;2020:4790254. doi: 10.1155/2020/4790254

25. Wilkes MJ, Mendis MD, Bisset L, et al. The prevalence and burden of recurrent headache in

Australian adolescents: findings from the longitudinal study of Australian children. J Headache Pain. 2021;22:49. doi: 10.1186/s10194-021-01262-2

26. Wallwork SB, Leake HB, Peek AL, et al. Implicit motor imagery performance is impaired in people with chronic, but not acute, neck pain. PeerJ. 2020;8:e8553. doi: 10.7717/peerj.8553

27. Spruijt S, van der Kamp J, Steenbergen B. Current insights in the development of children's motor imagery ability. Front Psychol. 2015;6:787. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00787

28. Breckenridge JD, McAuley JH, Moseley GL, Ginn KA. Is implicit motor imagery altered in people with shoulder pain? The shoulder left/right judgement task. Musculoskelet Sci Pract. 2020;48:102159. doi: 10.1016/j.msksp.2020.102159.