Assessment of Palynological Characterization and Total Phenol-Flavonoid Content of Some Honeys from Ordu in Turkey

İlginç KIZILPINAR TEMIZER^{1*}, Aytaç GÜDER ¹, Zafer TÜRKMEN²

¹ Giresun University, Vocational High School of Health Services, Giresun, 28200, Turkey ² Giresun University, Science and Art Faculty, Giresun, 28200, Turkey

Geliş / Received: 01/11/2018, Kabul / Accepted: 15/12/2019

Abstract

Honey is one of the important honey bee products and varies total phenolic and flavonoid contents depending on mainly its floral source. In this study, the total phenolic-flavonoid content and pollen composition of fifteen honey samples were investigated from Ordu in Turkey. According to melissopalynological (qualitative) analysis, eleven honey samples were unifloral (*Castanea sativa* (9); Rosaceae (1) and *Onobrychis* spp.(1)), while four samples were multifloral. The forty-two pollen types from the samples were identified. The melissopalynological (quantitative) analysis based on Maurizio's Class demonstrated that one in Class II, three in Class III, one in Class IV and ten in Class V. Total phenolic and total flavonoid amunts in these honeys varied from 32.5-171.05 mg GAE (gallic acid equivalent)/100g, 1.65-38.75 mg CAE (catechin equivalent)/100g, respectively. According to this study the highest flavonoid levels obtained from Sample 9 (multifloral) and the highest phenolic contents were shown in sample 1 (*C. sativa*). This study contributes to literature about Ordu honeys.

Keywords: flavonoid, honey, phenolic, Ordu

Türkiye'nin Ordu iline ait Bazı Balların Palinolojik Karakterizasyonu ve Toplam Fenol-Flavonoid İçeriğinin Değerlendirilmesi

Öz

Bal, en önemli arı ürünlerinden biridir ve total fenol ve flavonoid miktarı floral kaynağına göre değişkenlik gösterir. Bu çalışmada Türkiye'nin Ordu ilinden alınan 15 bal örneğinin toplam fenol, toplam flavonoid ve polen dağılımı incelenmiştir. Melissopalinolojik (qualitatif) analizlere göre 11 bal unifloral (*Castanea sativa* (9); Rosaceae (1) and *Onobrychis* spp.(1)), dört örnek multifloraldır. Örneklerden 42 polen tipi tanımlanmıştır. Maurizio's Sınıflandırmasına dayanarak yapılan mellissopalinolojik (quantitatif) analizler 1 tane Class II, 3 tane Class III, 1 tane Class IV ve 10 tane Class V olduğunu göstermiştir. Bu ballardaki toplam fenol ve flavonoid içerikleri sırasıyla 32.5-171.05 mg GAE/100g, 1.65-38.75 mg CAE/100g aralığında değişmektedir. Bu çalışmaya göre en yüksek flavonoid seviyesi örnek 9 (multifloral)'dan elde edilmiştir ve en yüksek fenol içeriği örnek 1 (*C. sativa*)'de gözlenmiştir. Bu çalışma literature Ordu balları hakkında katkı sağlamaktadır. **Anahtar Kelimeler:** Flavonoid, bal, fenol, Ordu

1. Introduction

Honey is defined as a naturally sweet mixture which consists of glucose, fructose and other substances (proteins, polyphenolic compounds, organic acids, amino acids, minerals etc.) (White and Doner, 1980). Polyphenolics, mainly phenolic acids and flavonoids, were defined as the mainly components account for the health-promoting features of honey (Kečkeš et al., 2013). Many authors have shown that the varied nutritional quality, medicinal and sensory properties of honeys are due to botanic origin, honey is never completely the same another one (Rababah et al., 2014; Al-Farsi et al., 2018; Kavanagh et al., 2019).

The optimum climate and vegetation conditions of Ordu are allowed a long duration to make beekeeping activities. Ordu is situated at 40°18'-41°08'N, 36°52'-38°12' E. It is located in the A6 square (Figure 1) and is characterized by euxine and colchic subprovinces of Euro –Siberian floristic region. It consists of mainly deciduous broadleaf trees and evergreen shrubs (Davis 1965-1985). Indeed, it has an enormously wild forest area with rich bee flora. Asteraceae, Brassicacaeae, Fabaceae families and *C. sativa* are very important nectar or pollen resource for *Apis mellifera* (honey bee) in Ordu (Deveci et al., 2012).



Fig. 1. Geographical location of Ordu city (https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Ordu_districts.png)

Mellissopalynological analysis is a useful instrument for demonstrating the sources of nectar and pollen. Therefore, in the expanding global market, pollen analysis of honey has become a more important task than ever. Despite the importance of beekeeping in Ordu, its honeys are insufficiently-studied. This study aims at evaluating the some authenticity criteria of 15 honey samples from Ordu. For this purpose, pollen analysis, total phenolic content and total flavonoid content were performed. In addition, honey classified Maurizio's classification.

2. Experimental 2.1. Materials and Methods

Honey samples were collected between August-September of 2015 from different

localities of Ordu (Turkey) which is part of the north-east region (Table 1).

Firstly, we give the fundamental definition and properties for this sequence.

Table 1. Sample no and locations of honeybee pollens collected from Ordu

1	Sayacabaşı	6	Perşembe	11	Ulubey
	(Ulubey)				
2	Boztepe	7	Merkez	12	Yokuşdibi
3	Kabadüz	8	Ünye	13	Günören
					(Merkez)
4	Gölköy	9	Merkez	14	Günören
					(Merkez)
5	Gülyalı	10	Kabadüz	15	Merkez

2.2. Melissopalynological Analysis

Preparation of honey sample for qualitative and quantative mellissopalynological analysis was performed in accordance with the international method (Maurizio and Hodges, 1951; Lieux 1972; Louveaux and Maurizio, 1978). The total pollen number (TPN) of all samples was calculated according to the described Moar method bv (1985). Classification of honey samples by TPN-10 values was done according to Maurizio (1975) Group I (<20,000 pollen grains); Group II (20,000–100,000); Group III (100,000– 500,000); Group IV (500,000–1,000,000); and Group V (>1,000,000).

The terms used for the evolution of the frequency classes in honeys were: dominant pollen (>45%), secondary pollen (16-45%), minor pollen (3-15%), and trace pollen (<3%) (Louveaux and Maurizio, 1978).

2.3. Antioxidant Analyses2.3.1. Determination of total flavonoid content (TFC)

The total flavonoid content of the samples were determined according to the colorimetric method described by Chung et al. (2002) with slight modifications. Absolute ethanol (1.5 mL) mixed samples (0.5 mL) were added to 0.1 mL of 10% AlCl₃.6H₂O solution and 0.1 mL of 1.0 mol L⁻¹ potassium acetate. The final volume was increased to 5mL with deionizes water. The mixture was left at room temperature for 30 min and the absorbance was calculated at 415 nm by a spectrophotometer (Optizen Pop UV / Vis Single Beam, Korea). Total flavonoid content was expressed as micrograms of CAE that were obtained from a standard graph $(R^2=0.9979).$

2.3.2. Determination of total phenolic contents (TPC)

The total phenolic content of the samples was analyzed by the Folin and Ciocalteu's phenol reagent (Folin C) colorimetric method described by Slinkard and Singleton (1977). Sample solutions (0.5 mL) were mixed with 7.0 mL of distilled water and subsequently with Folin C reagent (0.5 mL). After 3 min a Na₂CO₃ solution (3.0 mL, 2.0 %) was added into the mixture. The color developed for 1 hour and the absorbance was measured at 760 nm by a spectrophotometer (Optizen Pop UV / Vis Single Beam). Gallic acid was used as the standard, and the total phenolic content was expressed as micrograms of GAE by using an equation that was obtained from a standard gallic acid graph ($R^2 = 0.9995$).

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The relationship between total phenolic and flavonoid content of samples was determined by Spearman correlation tests. Analyses were done with SPSS (version 15.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows.

3. Findings

In this study, the pollen spectra of the randomly selected fifteen honey samples collected from different locations in Ordu (Turkey) were analyzed, including the identification of total phenolic and flavonoid contents. The pollen frequency classes are given in Table 2.

Samples	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Acer				Т											
Anchusa											S				
Apiaceae		Т	Т	Т	Т			Т	Т		Т	Т			Т
Asteraceae-echinate typ		Т	Т	М		Т		S	М		Т	Т	Т	М	
Asteraceae-lakun typ				Т		Т	М	Т		Т		Т		Т	
Asteraceae-scabrat typ									Т		Т				
Brassicaceae								Μ			Т	Т		М	
Boraginaceae		Т		М			М		Т		Т	Т	Т	М	Т
Campanula		Т		Т											Т
Caryophylaceae								Т		Т	Т			М	
Castanea sativa	D	D			D	D	D	М		D	D	D	D		
Centraurea								Т	Т		Т	Т		М	
Chenopodiaceae		Т													
Cistaceae				М	Т			М			Т			Т	Т
Daucus	Т											Т			
Dipsacaceae		Т						Т	М						
Echium								Т				Т			
Fabaceae	Т	Т	Т	М	Т		М	Μ	S	Т	Т	М	S	М	S
Juglans		Т							М		Т	Т			Т
Lamiaceae				М							Т			Т	Т
Lonicera									М					S	
Medicago			Т	М		Т		М						Т	Т
Oleaceae		Т							Т		Т				
Onobrychis			D	S	Т		S	S		Т	М			М	Т
Plantago														Т	
Pseudoacacia									М				М		
Poaceae	Т	Т		Т	Т	Т		Т		Т		Т			Т
Rhododendron											Т		Т		М
Rosaceae		Т	Т	М		Т		Т		Т	Т	Т		М	D
Rumex								Т			Т	Т		Т	Т
Salix	Т			М										Т	М
Taraxacum											Т				
Tilia									S	Т	Т				
Trifolium			Т	Т		Т	М	М						М	Т
Undetermined				Т	Т				Т	Т	Т		Т	Т	Т

 Table 2. Pollen types and their frequency class distributions in the 15 samples

(D: >45%, S:16-45%, M: 3-15% and T:<3%)



Fig. 2. Pollen richness according to Maurizio's Class (The Roman numbers indicate the Class).

The total phenolic and flavonoid contents range from 32.5-171.05 mg GAE/100g and 1.65-38.75 mg CAE/100g, respectively. Sample 1 has the highest total phenolic content and sample 7 has the lowest contents. In addition, sample 9 demonstrates the highest flavonoid content and sample 3 has the lowest content (Figure 2). There was no significant correlation between the total phenolic and flavonoid content (p>0.05).



Fig. 3. Total phenolic and flavonoid contents of samples

4. Discussion

In this study it was found that all of the samples were natural honey in terms of pollen characteristics. The result of pollen analysis indicated that the honey samples were plentiful in different taxa but in low percentage. In addition, Mellisopalynological analysis of honey samples showed a slightly variability between samples from different localities of Ordu. The botanical classification of honeys indicates that 9 would be classified as Chestnut (C. sativa) honey, one as Onobrychis honey, one as Rosaceae honey and the rest as multifloral honey. Results obtained the study determined that C. sativa is mainly nectar and pollen sources in Ordu beekeeping activities. The C. sativa and Rhododendron spp. pollen types may be regarded as geographical markers for the Ordu and Euro-Siberian region of Turkey, though the *Rhododendron* pollen type was found only in three samples. However, beekeepers may not choose Rhododendron because it contains andromedotoxin that can cause serious side effects in humans (Michie et al., 2011). researchers have investigated Previous Chestnut honey have noted that C. sativa pollen is strongly over represented in honey (Louveaux, et al., 1978; Ramos et al., 2002). The results obtained from this study are in agreement with these researchers (78% Class V, Maurizio's). Onobrychis spp. honey, from unifloral honeys based on pollen grains, is represented in Class II, while Rosaceae honey is found in Class IV. Onobrychis spp. in inner and east Anatolia from Turkey is the important plant for honey bee (Özbek, 2011) and indicates traveler beekeeping in Ordu. Pollen concentrations of multifloral honeys are assigned to Class V (75%) and Class III (25%).

The value for total phenolic content in studied samples were usually higher than the results declared by other searches (Lachman 2010; Özbek, 2011; Šarić et al., 2012; Pontis et al., 2014; Kavanagh et al. 2019; Selvaraju et al. 2019). However the phenolic content of these samples was lower than samples from Black Sea region of Turkey (Kolaylı et al., 2008). Total flavonoid amount of samples are similar compared to the results reported in the literature (Meda et al., 2005; Šarić et al., 2012) and lower than Moniruzzaman et al. (2014) and Al-Farsi et al. (2018). The total phenolic amount of our findings was similar to Boussaid et al. (2018), while the total flavonoid content was higher than Boussaid et al. (2018) and Selvaraju et al. (2019).

Analyses of the 15 honey samples from Ordu city of Turkey revealed the occurrence of different types of pollens from 42 plant taxa. In addition, eleven honey samples were unifloral and the rest were multifloral. Honey samples have different phenolic-flavonoid content as evidenced by the varied pollen spectra. The results obtained from study had significant total phenolic and flavonoid contents. Therefore, it may be regarded as a perfect source of phenolic flavonoid source. There are no reports available concerning the pollen spectra, total phenolic content and total flavonoid content of Ordu honeys that make the present work being original in this field. In the next study, the honey samples obtained from Ordu, the phenolic and flavonoid component(s) can be detected by using different chromatographic techniques so we can evaluate the isolated dominant active component(s) in honey samples clearly. Then, biochemical and pharmacological activities of these component(s) may be identified via in vivo experiments.

5. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Giresun University's Scientific Research Unit (Project No. FEN-BAP-independent-250414-16) for the financial support.

6. References

Al-Farsi M., Al-Amri A., Al-Hadhrami A., Al-Belushi S. (2018). "Color, flavonoids, phenolics and antioxidants of Omani honey", Heliyon, 4(10), e00874.

Boussaid A., Chouaibi M., Rezig L., Hellal R., Donsì F., Ferrari G., Hamdi, S., (2018). "Physicochemical and bioactive properties of six honey samples from various floral origins from Tunisia", Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 11(2), 265-274.

Chung Y.C., Chang C.T., Chao W.W., Lin C.F., Chou S.T., (2002). "Antioxidative activity and safety of the 50 ethanolic extract from red bean fermented by Bacillus subtilis IMR-NK1", J. Agric. Food Chem., 50, 2454–2458.

Kavanagh S., Gunnoo J., Marques Passos T., Stout J. C., White B. (2019). "Physicochemical properties and phenolic content of honey from different floral origin and from rural versus urban landscapes Food Chem., 272, 66-75.

Kečkeš, S., Gašić, U., Veličković, T.Ć Milojković-Opsenica, D., Natić, M., Tešić, ' (2013). "The determination of phenol profiles of Serbian unifloral honeys usir ultra-high-performance liquu chromatography/high resolution accurate mass spectrometry", Food Chem., 138, 32–40.

Kolaylı, A., Yazicioğlu, R., Ulusoy, E., S. (2008). "Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of selected Turkish honeys". Hacettepe Journal of Biology and Chemistry, 36, 163-172.

Lachman, J., Orsák, M., Hejtmánková, A., Kovářová, E., (2010). "Evaluation of antioxidant activity and total phenolics of selected Czech honeys", LWT - Food Sci. Technol., 43, 52–58.

Lieux, M.H., (1972). "A melissopalynological study of 54 Louisiana (U.S.A.) honeys", Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol., 13, 95–124.

Louveaux, J., Maurizio A., Vorwohl G. (1978). Methods of melissopalynology, Bee World, 59, 139–157.

Maurizio A., Hodges F. E. D., (1951). Pollen analysis of honey, Bee World, 321–325.

Maurizio A. (1975). How bees make honey, A Compr. Surv. honey, 77–105.

Meda A., Lamien C.E., Romito M., Millogo J., Nacoulma O.G., (2005). "Determination of the total phenolic, flavonoid and proline contents in Burkina Fasan honey, as well as their radical scavenging activity", Food Chem., 91, 571–577.

Michie D., Litterick A., Crews C., (2011). "The influence of outdoor windrow composting on the concentration of grayanotoxins in Rhododendron leaves", Compost Sci. Util., 19, 44–51.

Moar N.T., (1985). "Pollen analysis of New Zealand honey", New Zeal. J. Agric. Res., 28, 39–70.

Moniruzzaman M., Yung An C., Rao P.V., Hawlader M.N.I., Azlan S.A.B.M., Sulaiman S. A., Gan S.H., (2014). "Identification of phenolic acids and flavonoids in monofloral honey from Bangladesh by high performance liquid chromatography: Determination of antioxidant capacity", Biomed Res. Int.. doi:10.1155/2014/737490.

Özbek H., (2011). "Sainfoin, Onobrychis viciifolia Scop.: An Important Bee Plant". Uludağ Bee Journal, 11, 51-62.

Pontis J.A., da Costa L.A.M.A., da Silva S.J.R., Flach A., (2014). "Color, Phenolic and Flavonoid Content, and Antioxidant Activity of Honey from Roraima, Brazil", Food Sci. Technol., 34, 69–73.

Rababah T. M., Al-Omoush M., Brewer S., Alhamad M., Yang W., Alrababah M., Almajwal A., (2014). "Total phenol, antioxidant activity, flavonoids, anthocyanins and color of honey as affected by floral origin found in the arid and semiarid mediterranean areas", J. Food Process. Preserv., 38, 1119– 1128.

Ramos I.L.S., Pérez B.M., Ferreras C.G., (2002). "Pollen spectra of different unifloral honeys from La Palma (Canary Islands, Spain)", Grana, 41, 48–57.

Sarikaya A.O., Ulusoy E., Öztürk N., Tunçel M., Kolayli S., (2009). "Antioxidant activity and phenolic acid constituents of chestnut (*Castania Sativa* Mill.) Honey and Propolis", J. Food Biochem., 33, 470–481.

Slinkard K., Singleton V., (1977). "Total phenol analysis: automation and comparison with manual methods", Am. J. Enol. Vitic.,

28, 49–55.

Šarić G., Marković K., Major N., Krpan M., Uršulin-Trstenjak N., Hruškar M., Vahčić N., (2012). "Changes of antioxidant activity and phenolic content in acacia and multifloral honey during storage", Food Technol. Biotechnol., 50, 434–441.

Selvaraju K., Vikram P., Soon J.M., Krishnan K. T., Mohammed A., (2019). "Melissopalynological, physicochemical and antioxidant properties of honey from West Coast of Malaysia", J Food Sci Technol 56, 2508.

White, J.W., Doner, L.W. (1980). "Honey Composition and Properties: Beekeeping in the United States". Agriculture Handbook. 335, 82–91.